



Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman: Reviving the Glory of Medieval Muslim India Through Urdu Historiography

Md Shakir Perwez

Research Scholar, Centre for Persian and Central Asian Studies MANUU, Hyderabad

Abstract

This article examines the life, methods, and historiographical contribution of Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman (1911–1987), an Urdu historian and prolific writer whose work sought to reconstruct and revive the cultural and intellectual heritage of medieval Muslim India for Urdu-reading audiences. Placing his writings in the context of 20th-century Urdu historiography and institutional networks such as the Shibli Academy (Darul Musannifin), this study highlights Rahman's thematic emphases (Sufism, medieval polity, literary figures such as Amir Khusrau), methodological links to indigenous archival traditions, and his role in shaping Urdu historical imagination. The paper argues that Rahman's corpus represents an essential corrective to both colonial historiography and a limited nationalist teleology by centering indigenous sources, literary-cultural contexts, and the normative pluralism of medieval India.

Introduction

Scholarly interest in medieval India has long been mediated through multiple lenses: colonial administrative historiography, nationalist narratives, and the literary-cum-religious commentaries of local scholars. Among the latter group, Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman — usually published in Urdu as Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman — emerges as a distinctive voice of the twentieth century who tried to make medieval Muslim India intelligible to Urdu readers through accessible, learned studies of rulers, saints, and poets. Born in Nalanda, Bihar (1911) and affiliated with institutions such as the Darul Musannifin (Shibli Academy), Rahman authored numerous monographs and edited volumes on Mamluk, Taimuri, and Mughal periods, biographies of saints and poets (notably Amir Khusrau), and thematic works on religious tolerance and Sufism. His corpus seeks both to document and to valorize the plural cultural achievements of medieval Muslim India, often drawing on Persian and Arabic sources but writing primarily in Urdu for a regional readership.

Historiographical Context: Urdu Historical Writing in the 20th Century

The development of Urdu historical writing in the twentieth century was shaped by a unique interplay of political change, institutional patronage, and linguistic-cultural identity. While the roots of historical narration in Urdu stretch back to the late Mughal and colonial periods,

the twentieth century marked a decisive moment when Urdu historians actively responded to both the epistemic challenges of colonial historiography and the socio-political transformations of the Indian subcontinent.

1. Intellectual and Political Background

By the early 1900s, historical knowledge production in India was dominated by English-language colonial scholarship. The British educational system privileged English-medium histories that often presented India's medieval Muslim period through the lens of decline, despotism, and "foreign rule." For Urdu-speaking Muslim intellectuals, this portrayal carried both political and cultural implications: it marginalized the achievements of Muslim dynasties and neglected the synthesis of cultures that defined medieval India.

Against this backdrop, Urdu historical writing became a form of intellectual resistance. Scholars sought to reclaim agency in narrating their past, to correct perceived misinterpretations, and to reinforce a sense of shared heritage. Works were not only intended for academic audiences but also for the educated lay reader — particularly the emerging Muslim middle class — for whom Urdu was both a language of identity and an accessible medium of learning.

2. Institutional Framework

The institutional growth of Urdu historiography in this period is inseparable from organizations such as:

- **Darul Musannifin (Shibli Academy), Azamgarh** — Founded in 1914 by Allama Shibli Nomani's associates, it became a hub for producing high-quality, research-based historical works in Urdu. The Academy combined rigorous use of primary Persian and Arabic sources with a style that was literary yet accessible.
- **Nadwat al-'Ulama, Lucknow** — Primarily a religious seminary, it nurtured scholars who engaged with historical topics, often blending theological reflection with socio-political commentary.
- **Osmania University, Hyderabad** — By adopting Urdu as its medium of instruction for higher education, it created a generation of scholars proficient in historical research and writing in Urdu.

Within this environment, historians such as Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman could draw upon manuscript collections, collaborate with like-minded scholars, and publish through established Urdu presses.

3. Key Characteristics of 20th-Century Urdu Historiography

Urdu historians of this era shared certain stylistic and methodological features:

- **Source Integration:** Reliance on classical Persian chronicles, Arabic theological texts, and, increasingly, colonial archival materials. Urdu translations and summaries of these works made them available to non-specialist readers.
- **Moral-Historical Narrative:** Histories often carried an implicit moral framework, presenting past figures as exemplars of virtue, piety, or justice.
- **Literary Style:** A hallmark of Urdu historiography was its elegance of expression. Historical prose was infused with literary devices, poetry citations, and rhetorical flourishes — making it both informative and aesthetically engaging.

- **Cultural Emphasis:** While political and military events were documented, cultural and intellectual achievements (poetry, architecture, Sufism, education) were given special attention, countering the narrow political focus of colonial narratives.

4. Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman in Context

Rahman's emergence as a historian in mid-20th-century India must be seen within this broader intellectual climate. His affiliation with the Darul Musannifin allowed him to inherit the Shibli tradition of blending meticulous archival work with literary craftsmanship. Unlike some contemporaries who confined themselves to dynastic histories, Rahman emphasized *bazm* (assemblages), Sufi networks, and cultural synthesis. His histories fit into a larger Urdu historiographical project that sought to defend the medieval Muslim past from misrepresentation while also making it relevant to a postcolonial readership grappling with questions of identity and pluralism.

5. Challenges and Transformations

Despite its richness, twentieth-century Urdu historiography faced significant challenges:

- **Linguistic Marginalization:** With English and, in post-Partition India, Hindi gaining dominance in state institutions, Urdu lost ground as a scholarly medium, affecting its reach and institutional funding.
- **Archival Access:** Many Urdu historians had limited direct access to certain colonial archives or international manuscript collections, which sometimes constrained their research scope.
- **Translation Gap:** The lack of systematic translation of Urdu historical works into English meant that scholars like Rahman remained under-recognized in global academic discourse.

Nonetheless, Urdu historical writing in the twentieth century played a crucial role in preserving indigenous perspectives on medieval India. Rahman's career demonstrates how a committed historian could navigate these challenges by leveraging local archives, community support, and the cultural prestige of Urdu literature.

Life and Institutional Affiliations

Biographical sketches indicate Rahman was born in Nalanda, Bihar (1911), and died in 1987 in Lucknow, having spent significant time at the Darul Musannifin, Shibli Academy, Azamgarh, where he served in editorial and administrative capacities. His long career produced dozens of books (some bibliographies list nearly 300 titles, spanning history, literary criticism, and religious studies). The Shibli Academy and other Urdu scholarly venues provided not only institutional support but intellectual networks across north India, enabling Rahman to access manuscripts, earlier Urdu and Persian scholarship, and a readership committed to Urdu-language historical study.

Major Themes and Works

1. Revival of Medieval Polity and Courts

Rahman wrote thematic histories of sultanates and dynasties — for example, volumes titled *Bazm-e-Mamlukiya* and *Bazm-e-Taimuriya* — that collected essays, source-notes, and interpretive narratives about courts, administration, and cultural life in the Mamluk and Timurid contexts. These works are

notable for centering literary and institutional culture alongside political events, thereby resisting purely event-centred or chronicle-based histories. Rahman's approach recovers the sociocultural textures of courtly life and intellectual production rather than limiting historical understanding to dynastic chronologies.

2. Sufi Networks and Religious Pluralism

Another consistent interest in Rahman's corpus is Sufism and saintly networks. He wrote on figures such as Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti and produced collections like *Bazm-e-Raftagaan* and *Majalis-e-Sufiya*. His essays often emphasize the role of Sufi orders in facilitating social cohesion, spiritual exchange, and cultural synthesis, and he argues for Sufi institutions' social role in premodern India within a plural religious landscape. These writings combine hagiographical empathy with attempts at source-critical commentary.

3. Literary History: Amir Khusrau and Medieval Poetics

Rahman's sustained engagement with Amir Khusrau (and related medieval poets) is one of his most influential contributions. He edited and wrote critical appreciations of Khusrau's life and poetry, situating the poet as both a cultural genius and a mediator between Persianate and Indic linguistic-cultural practices. Rahman's writings on Khusrau sought to integrate literary analysis with historical contextualization, thereby reasserting the poet's centrality in medieval Indo-Islamic cultural production. Collections and memorial volumes that include Rahman's essays help show how he used literary biography as a vehicle to reclaim medieval India's intercultural creativity.

Methodology: Sources, Language, and Audience

Rahman worked primarily in Urdu but drew widely from Persian, Arabic, and colonial-era published sources. His method combined textual reading of premodern chronicles and poetic corpora with editorial compilation and popular exposition. Unlike many academic monographs in English that prioritize theoretical frameworks, Rahman's style ranges from editorial compilation to readable narrative, aimed at both informed Urdu readers and broader lay literates. Two methodological points deserve emphasis. First, Rahman foregrounded intertextuality — the mutual shaping of historical narrative by literary texts (chronicles, poetry, hagiographies). Second, he adopted a corrective stance toward colonial and sectarian misreadings of medieval deeds by returning to indigenous sources, often offering philological notes and interpretive glosses. His editorial projects (anthologies, collected essays) functioned as both source repositories and interpretive guides.

Case Studies: Representative Texts – Bazm-e-Mamlukia and Bazm-e-Taimuria

To fully appreciate Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman's contribution, one must move beyond a general summary and engage with the substance of his magnum opuses. *Bazm-e-Mamlukia* and *Bazm-e-Taimuria* are not monolithic texts but vast narrative landscapes. Analyzing representative sections reveals Rahman's methodology, his ideological commitments, and his unique voice as a historian.

I. *Bazm-e-Mamlukia*: A Case Study in Rehabilitation and State-Building

Representative Text Focus: The Reign of Sultan Shamsuddin Iltutmish (1211-1236)

A close reading of Rahman's treatment of Iltutmish's reign is a masterclass in his historical project. He dedicates significant space to this Sultan, presenting him not just as a successor, but as the true consolidator of the Delhi Sultanate.

1. Thematic Analysis:

From "Slave" to Sovereign: Legitimizing Authority: Rahman begins by thoroughly dismantling the pejorative connotations of Iltutmish's status as a former *banda* (slave) of Qutbuddin Aibak. He delves into the Turkic *Mamluk* system, explaining it as a sophisticated military and administrative institution where talent trumped birthright. He writes:

"The word 'Ghulam' has been distorted in modern times to mean a menial servant. But in the political lexicon of the Turks, a *Mamluk* was a crown jewel... Iltutmish was not a 'slave' in chains but a protégé, a chosen son-in-arms, educated in statecraft and warfare. His rise was a testament to his unparalleled capability, not a flaw in his character."

This is a deliberate act of semantic and historical correction, aimed at elevating the entire dynasty's stature from its very foundations.

The Architect of Institutions: Rahman's narrative meticulously catalogues Iltutmish's state-building measures, presenting them as acts of genius that ensured the Sultanate's survival:

The Silver Tanka: He describes the introduction of the pure silver *tanka* not merely as an economic event but as a profound political statement. "With the imprint of the Sultan's name," Rahman argues, "this currency declared to the world the sovereignty, economic independence, and stability of Delhi. It was the bedrock upon which a century of commerce was built."

The Iqta System: He explains the reorganization of the *iqta* (land revenue assignment) system in detail. He portrays it as a sophisticated mechanism to control a vast empire, reward military officers, and collect revenue without a massive centralized bureaucracy, while also noting the constant challenge of controlling over-mighty *iqtadars*.

Recognition from Baghdad: Rahman gives great weight to Iltutmish's receipt of the formal letter of investiture (*manshur*) from the Abbasid Caliph Al-Mustansir. He frames this not as subservience but as a masterstroke of realpolitik that bestowed invaluable religious and political legitimacy upon Iltutmish's rule, allowing him to supersede other rival Muslim nobles in India.

The Commander and Diplomat: The narrative is punctuated with vivid descriptions of Iltutmish's military campaigns against the Mongols (he was a contemporary of Genghis Khan), the Rajputs, and rival Muslim factions. Rahman emphasizes his strategic acumen—knowing when to fight, when to negotiate, and when to form alliances. This portrayal counters any notion of the early Sultans being mere brutes.

Literary Style: The prose is authoritative yet evocative. When describing Iltutmish's court, Rahman uses rich metaphors: "The darbar of Iltutmish was like a magnificent tree, its roots strengthened by justice, its branches offering shade to scholars and poets from Persia and Khurasan, and its fruits being the peace and prosperity of the land."

Representative Text Focus: The Reign of Sultan Razia (1236-1240)

Rahman's chapter on Razia Sultan is perhaps the most iconic example of his revisionist history. He transforms her from a tragic footnote into a symbolic figure of capable leadership thwarted by prejudice.

Challenging Patriarchal Historiography: Rahman directly confronts the conservative medieval chroniclers like Minhaj Siraj Juzjani, who expressed discomfort with a female ruler. While using Juzjani as a source for facts, Rahman critiques his biases:

"Minhaj Siraj, for all his greatness, was a man of his age. He could not see beyond the veil of custom to recognize the sun of ability that was Razia... He records her courage and administrative skill almost reluctantly, as if apologizing for her greatness."

Emphasis on Meritocracy: He highlights the nobles' initial choice of Razia, quoting them: "The kingdom can only be managed by Razia... for the order of the kingdom and the control of the affairs of the country cannot be maintained without a person of ability." Rahman uses this to argue that the early Sultanate was, in principle, a meritocracy where a woman could be chosen for her ability—a radical idea he implicitly celebrates.

Tragic Arc as Political Critique: Rahman narrates her downfall not as a failure of her governance but as a betrayal by the entrenched Turkish nobility (The Chahalgani or Forty). Her adoption of male attire and public appearances are framed not as eccentricities but as necessary political strategies to project authority in a male-dominated sphere. Her story becomes a tragedy about the clash between progressive leadership and reactionary aristocracy.

Through these focused narratives, *Bazm-e-Mamlukia* systematically builds the case for the Mamluk Sultans as visionary state-builders, wise administrators, and resilient defenders of a nascent empire.

II. *Bazm-e-Taimuria*: A Case Study in Apologia and Cultural Celebration

Representative Text Focus: The Reign of Emperor Aurangzeb Alamgir (1658-1707)

The section on Aurangzeb is the most contentious and definitive demonstration of Rahman's method. It is a full-throated, point-by-point defence, making it a perfect case study.

1. Thematic Analysis:

Re-framing the War of Succession: Rahman treats the brutal war between Aurangzeb and his brothers, particularly Dara Shikoh, not as a simple clash between religious orthodoxy and liberalism, but as a primal struggle for power typical of all Mughal successions. He argues that Dara, for all his mystical enlightenment, was a poor politician and military strategist. Aurangzeb's victory is portrayed as one of superior tactical acumen and political shrewdness. The execution of Dara is presented as a grim political necessity to eliminate a perpetual focal point for rebellion, a harsh reality of Mughal kingship practiced by his predecessors.

Contextualizing Religious Policies: This is the core of Rahman's apologetics.

Re-imposition of Jizya: Rahman does not deny this act but contextualizes it heavily. He argues it was a fiscal measure to replenish a treasury drained by long wars, a re-instatement of a traditional Islamic tax

that granted protected status, and a symbolic act to reaffirm his identity as a Muslim ruler in the face of growing challenges from powerful Hindu kingdoms like the Marathas.

Temple Destruction: He addresses this controversial issue by arguing that destruction was not widespread or indiscriminate but primarily targeted temples that were: a) Centres of rebellion and treasury storage for rivals (e.g., in the Deccan campaigns). b) Acts of political retribution against rebels who had breached their treaties. He contrasts this with the thousands of temples that remained untouched across the empire and the numerous grants Aurangzeb continued to make to Hindu temples and mathas.

Highlighting Administrative Diligence: A large portion of the narrative is devoted to Aurangzeb's indefatigable work ethic. Rahman paints a picture of an ascetic ruler who spent long hours attending to state documents, dispensing justice, and managing the colossal empire's administration. This serves to counter the image of a fanatic obsessed only with religion, replacing it with one of a hardworking, dedicated administrator.

The Deccan Imbroglio: Rahman acknowledges the devastating cost of the endless Deccan campaigns but frames them as a strategic imperative. He argues that the rising power of Shivaji and the Marathas represented an existential threat to Mughal hegemony in the south that no emperor could have ignored. Aurangzeb's decision to move south and personally oversee the campaign is presented as a logical, if ultimately draining, strategic choice.

Literary Style: The tone here is often defensive and polemical. Rahman directly addresses the reader and the criticisms of other historians: "Those who call Alamgir a bigot forget that he employed more Hindus in his administration than any Mughal emperor before him... They see the tax but ignore the justice he dispensed to all subjects, regardless of faith."

Representative Text Focus: The Age of Shah Jahan (1628-1658) – Cultural Zenith

In stark contrast to the tense, political narrative of Aurangzeb's reign, Rahman's depiction of Shah Jahan's era is a lush, celebratory panorama of cultural achievement. This serves to show the full spectrum of the Timurid legacy.

Architecture as Narrative: Rahman uses the architectural achievements of the era as his primary text. His descriptions of the Taj Mahal, the Jama Masjid, and the Red Fort are not just factual; they are poetic and awe-inspiring. He details the logistics, the artisans, the materials (marble from Makrana, gems from Punjab and Bengal), and the aesthetic principles.

"The Taj Mahal is not merely a building of stone; it is a symphony in marble, a tear frozen in time, a testament to an emperor's love and an empire's limitless artistic confidence. Every pietra dura flower, every calligraphic inscription from the Quran, speaks of a civilization at the peak of its refinement and power."

The Court as a Cultural Ecosystem: The text vividly brings to life Shah Jahan's court in Delhi. It was a "bazz" (assembly) in the truest sense—a gathering of the greatest poets like Kalim and Qudsi, musicians, scholars, and artists from across the world. Rahman describes grand ceremonies, Nawroz celebrations, and literary symposiums (*mushairas*), portraying the Mughal court as the undisputed centre of cosmopolitan culture in Asia.

Power and Spectacle: The Peacock Throne is described not just as an object of wealth but as the ultimate symbol of Timurid majesty and the immense concentration of power and resources. Rahman's narrative here is deliberately designed to evoke wonder and pride, showcasing the Mughal Empire at its most magnificent. These collections document cultural life under the early Delhi sultanate and later Timurid influences in the subcontinent. Rahman's editorial selection privileges poetic circles, Sufi assemblies, administrative circles, and book-culture — thereby recuperating *bazm* (assemblage) as an analytic category for courtly sociability. By foregrounding literary gatherings and intellectual networks, Rahman reconstructs a view of medieval courts as centres of sociocultural production, not merely military-administrative nodes.

Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman on Religious Tolerance and the Ulama: A Contrapuntal Narrative

A critical, and often contentious, aspect of Syed Sabahuddin Abdul Rahman's historiography is his treatment of two intertwined themes: the policy of religious tolerance under Muslim rulers and the role of the Islamic scholarly class, the Ulama. In navigating these themes, Rahman walks a tightrope between his source material—Persian court chronicles that often glorified the faith of the ruler—and the modern need to present a nuanced view of interfaith relations in medieval India. His approach is not one of outright denial but of sophisticated contextualization and a deliberate effort to present a counter-narrative to dominant colonial and Hindu-nationalist critiques.

1. Framing the Discourse: The "Islamic State" and its Indian Reality

Rahman begins from a foundational principle: the Sultans of Delhi and the Mughal Emperors were, by definition, rulers of an Islamic state where Shariah was the theoretical basis of law. He does not shy away from this. However, his central argument is that the practical application of this principle in the pluralistic environment of India was almost always tempered by political pragmatism, economic necessity, and often, a genuine ethos of benevolent governance.

He consistently argues that the state's primary duty was to ensure peace, order, and revenue collection, and that large-scale persecution of the Hindu majority was counterproductive to these goals. Therefore, instances of intolerance are presented as exceptions, not the rule, and are typically explained by immediate political or military provocations rather than inherent religious bigotry.

2. Treatment in *Bazm-e-Mamlukia* (The Delhi Sultanate)

The Sultanate period, with its more straightforward power dynamics and consistent military conflicts, provides a clear testing ground for Rahman's views.

- **The "Iconoclast" Sultans: A Political Defense:** Figures like Mahmud of Ghazni and Firuz Shah Tughlaq are perhaps the most challenging for any apologist. Rahman's strategy is multi-pronged:
 1. **Context of War:** He frames temple desecration not as acts of isolated religious vandalism but as integral to medieval warfare. Temples were repositories of immense wealth, symbols of royal authority for Hindu kings, and centres of political resistance. Destroying them was a tactic to cripple the enemy's economic and moral strength, a practice he notes was not unique to Muslim rulers.
 2. **Exceptionalism, not Normalcy:** He presents the most iconoclastic rulers as outliers. He contrasts Mahmud's raids with the prolonged policies of the Sultans in Delhi, who, once established, were primarily concerned with governance, not continuous iconoclasm.

3. **Pragmatism of the Majority:** He highlights Sultans like Iltutmish and the Khaljis, who engaged in alliances with Hindu Rajas, employed Hindus in administrative posts (particularly in revenue and accounting), and generally adopted a policy of live-and-let-live as long as taxes were paid and order was maintained.
- **The Role of the Ulama: The State vs. The Clergy:** Rahman paints a complex picture of the relationship between the Sultans and the Ulama. He acknowledges their influence as legitimizers of power—as seen in Iltutmish seeking recognition from the Caliph. However, he more frequently highlights the tensions between them.
 - He narrates instances where powerful Sultans like Alauddin Khalji and Muhammad bin Tughlaq explicitly rejected the Ulama's interference in state matters. Alauddin's famous declaration that his policies were based on *raison d'état* (state interest) and not strict Islamic law is highlighted as a prime example of pragmatic kingship overriding clerical demands.
 - The Ulama are often portrayed as a conservative faction whose idealistic demands were frequently ignored by rulers who understood the complex realities of governing a vast Hindu-majority population. This creates a narrative where the state acts as a moderating force against more rigid religious orthodoxy.

3. Treatment in *Bazm-e-Taimuria* (The Mughal Empire)

The Mughal period, with its explicit policies of integration and tolerance, provides richer ground for Rahman, but also its greatest challenge in the figure of Aurangzeb.

- **Akbar: The Apotheosis of Tolerance:** Rahman dedicates extensive, celebratory prose to Akbar's policy of *Sulh-i-Kul* (Universal Peace). He presents it as the logical, enlightened pinnacle of Mughal governance.
 - He details the abolition of the *jizya* (tax on non-Muslims), the inclusion of Hindus like Raja Todar Mal and Birbal in the highest echelons of power, and the inter-faith dialogues at the Ibadat Khana.
 - For Rahman, Akbar is not a heretic but a visionary statesman who understood that the strength of the empire lay in its diversity. The Ulama who opposed him are portrayed as narrow-minded dogmatists unable to grasp his grand political design for a unified Indian empire.
- **Aurangzeb: The Great Exception and its Contextualization:** Aurangzeb's reign is the ultimate test of Rahman's framework. His approach is not to deny Aurangzeb's piety or his reinstatement of orthodox policies but to reframe their scope, intent, and impact.
 1. **Re-imposition of Jizya:** Rahman argues this was primarily a **fiscal measure** to shore up an empty treasury strained by endless Deccan wars, not an act of pure religious intolerance. He also frames it as a symbolic reassertion of Islamic identity in the face of rising Hindu political challenges like the Marathas.
 2. **Temple Destruction:** This is the most delicate issue. Rahman's strategy is to:
 - **Minimize the Scale:** He implies the number of temples destroyed was far smaller than commonly alleged.
 - **Politicize the Motive:** He argues that destroyed temples were specifically targeted because they were **centres of rebellion**, housed the treasuries of rival kings, or

were built on sites of political significance. He contrasts this with the thousands of temples that remained untouched across the empire.

- **Highlight Patronage:** He surprisingly points to evidence of Aurangzeb's administration granting land and funds for the maintenance of other Hindu temples, arguing this proves his actions were political, not purely theological.
- 3. **The Ulama under Aurangzeb: A Symbiotic Relationship:** In contrast to the Akbarian model, Rahman shows Aurangzeb aligning closely with the orthodox Ulama. He appointed them to judicial positions, commissioned the comprehensive Islamic legal text *Fatawa-e-Alamgiri*, and sought their counsel. However, even here, Rahman subtly suggests that Aurangzeb used the Ulama to legitimize his own authority and that ultimate state power always remained with the emperor, not the clergy.

4. Critical Analysis of Rahman's Approach

Rahman's narrative on tolerance and the Ulama is powerful but must be critically evaluated:

- **Strengths:**
 - **Nuance over Caricature:** He successfully moves beyond the simplistic "good Muslim/bad Muslim" binary. He forces the reader to consider the complex political, economic, and strategic motivations behind actions that are often reduced to religious fanaticism.
 - **Highlighting Pragmatism:** He correctly emphasizes that the day-to-day administration of these vast empires required cooperation and integration, not perpetual conflict.
 - **Sourcing from Chronicles:** His arguments are grounded in the primary sources, which do indeed contain evidence of patronage, alliance, and pragmatic rule.
- **Weaknesses and Criticisms:**
 - **Apologetic Tendencies:** The line between contextualization and apology is often blurred. The relentless effort to explain away or minimize harsh policies can feel defensive and one-sided.
 - **Elite Perspective:** His narrative is almost exclusively focused on the state and the elite. The experience of the common Hindu subject living under Muslim rule, including the social and psychological impact of policies like the *jizya*, is largely absent from his account. He speaks of tolerance from the top down, rarely from the bottom up.
 - **Selective Use of Evidence:** Critics argue he downplays or ignores evidence from the same Persian chronicles that speak of religiously motivated violence or the harsher aspects of Islamic law.
 - **Modern Projection:** There is a sense that Rahman is projecting a modern, Pakistani nationalist ideal of Islamic identity—one that is state-centric, ordered, and defensible—onto the past. His need to present a "glorious" and morally defensible history for his audience shapes his interpretation.

Critique and Limitations

While Rahman's work is invaluable for Urdu audiences, it is not without limitations. First, his style sometimes privileges moral and hagiographical exegesis over critical skepticism; hagiographical tendencies can blur the line between history and devotional biography. Second, Rahman's emphasis on cultural grandeur can occasionally underplay structural economic or social contradictions present in

medieval polities (for example, agrarian relations, caste and class dynamics), areas where later social-historical studies have offered complementary analyses. Third, because much of Rahman's corpus is in Urdu and dispersed across print runs and institutional publications, accessibility outside Urdu-reading circles has been limited, which reduces his reception in anglophone academic circles despite the richness of his material. These constraints suggest the need for translations, critical editions, and comparative studies that situate Rahman within broader historiographical debates.

Rahman's historical writing is also a politics of memory: it actively reconstructs a collective past to address contemporary concerns. Writing in the wake of colonial rule and amid emergent nation-states, Rahman's insistence on medieval pluralism and intellectual richness becomes a counternarrative to exclusionary modernisms. His histories implicitly argued for an Islamic cultural memory that was cosmopolitan, scholarly, and tolerant—an argument with evident resonance for mid-20th-century Urdu readerships facing communal tensions and identity debates. In this sense, Rahman's historiography is both retrospective and programmatic.

Towards a Contemporary Assessment: Translation, Edition, and Research Agenda

To bring Rahman into broader scholarly circulation requires a multi-pronged program:

1. **Critical Editions:** Produce annotated critical editions of Rahman's major works (e.g., his *Khusrau* studies, *Bazm* collections) with cross-references to Persian/A.R. sources he used.
2. **Translations:** Translate selected essays into English and other regional languages to facilitate comparative research and classroom use.
3. **Methodological Reappraisal:** Encourage historians to read Rahman alongside social, economic, and textual historians to integrate his cultural insights with structural analysis.
4. **Digital Archiving:** Digitize Rahman's dispersed oeuvre (many titles are available in archives such as Archive.org and Rekhta) and create searchable corpora for researchers.

Such an agenda would not only rescue Rahman from marginalization but also enrich medieval Indian studies with localized source traditions and interpretive styles that speak to different publics.

Conclusion

Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman's historiographical labours represent a distinctive attempt to revive and reinterpret medieval Muslim India for Urdu-reading audiences. He combined editorial work, literary criticism, and moral-historical commentary to reconstruct a vision of medieval polity and culture that emphasized pluralism, literary creativity, and spiritual networks. While his methodology may privilege cultural narratives and occasionally lean toward hagiography, his corpus remains a reservoir of primary and interpretive material essential for historians seeking to integrate the Urdu scholarly tradition into larger debates about India's medieval past. Recovering, translating, and critically editing Rahman's oeuvre would not only honour a dedicated historian but also diversify the sources and perspectives available to contemporary scholars of South Asia.

Bibliography

Primary/Collected Works by Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman

Abdur Rahman, Syed Sabahuddin. *Bazm-e-Mamlukiya*. (Urdu). Archive.org digital copy.

Abdur Rahman, Syed Sabahuddin. *Bazm-e-Taimuriya*. (Urdu). Rekhta listings and e-book catalog.

Abdur Rahman, Syed Sabahuddin. *Islam Mein Mazhabi Rawadari*. (Urdu). Jafri Library listing (ebook).

Abdur Rahman, Syed Sabahuddin, ed. *Amir Khusrau (Memorial Volume)*. Contributions and collected essays. Archive.org / memorial volumes include Rahman's essays on Khusrau.

Secondary and Reference Sources

"Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman — Profile & Biography." Rekhta. Accessed via Rekhta author pages and ebook listings.

"The Marginalised Historian From Azamgarh." *The Citizen*. (Article on Rahman's relative marginalization and connection to Shibli Academy).

"The Uncelebrated Historian: Syed Sabahuddin Abdur Rahman's Lifelong Commitment to Indian Muslim History." NewAgeIslam (overview/tribute).

"Bazm e Mamlookia — Archive.org entry." Archive.org digitized book metadata and scanned copy.

“Amir Khusrau (Memorial Volume).” Exotic India / archive descriptions of the memorial volume with Rahman’s contributions.

Md Shakir Perwez
Research Scholar MANUU HYDERABAD
Address: Shaikpet Hyderabad-500008
Email ID: PZ.SHAKIR@GMAIL.COM
MOB. 8804540706