



Assess the influence of geopolitical considerations on oil sector mergers and acquisitions.

Shruti Pant

Research Scholar, NIILM University Kaithal, Haryana

Dr. Shamim Ahmed

Professor, NIILM University, Kaithal, Haryana

Abstract

The global oil sector is deeply intertwined with geopolitics, as energy security, national interests, and global power dynamics shape corporate strategies and market behavior. This study assesses the influence of geopolitical considerations on mergers and acquisitions (M&A) within the oil industry, exploring how shifts in political alliances, regional conflicts, trade sanctions, and resource nationalism impact corporate consolidation and investment decisions. Geopolitical events—such as tensions in the Middle East, sanctions on major oil-producing nations like Russia and Iran, and the strategic maneuvers of OPEC—have historically altered market stability and valuation metrics, driving companies to merge or acquire assets as a hedge against uncertainty. Furthermore, the transition towards renewable energy, coupled with national energy policies and strategic reserves management, adds another layer of complexity to M&A activities. Through the lens of recent case studies and global market data, this research highlights that geopolitical factors not only influence deal frequency and scale but also determine the geographical focus and strategic intent of oil sector consolidations. The findings underscore that in an increasingly multipolar world, M&A decisions in the oil industry are as much about political positioning and access to stable reserves as they are about economic efficiency and shareholder value.

Keywords: Geopolitics, Oil Industry, Mergers and Acquisitions, Energy Security, Global Markets, Resource Nationalism, OPEC, Energy Transition.

Introduction

The global oil industry has long stood at the intersection of economics, politics, and strategic power. As one of the world's most critical and contested resources, oil continues to influence international relations, national security agendas, and global economic stability. Over the decades, fluctuations in oil prices, political instability in producing regions, and shifting alliances among nations have significantly shaped the strategic decisions of energy companies. Among these decisions, mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have emerged as a central mechanism through which oil firms adapt to geopolitical risks, market volatility, and the evolving regulatory landscape. The interplay between geopolitics and corporate consolidation in the oil sector is, therefore, both complex and deeply consequential.

Geopolitical considerations influence oil sector M&A activities in multiple dimensions. Energy policies of major economies, sanctions imposed by global powers, territorial disputes, and control over strategic chokepoints like the Strait of Hormuz or the Suez Canal, all have direct implications for corporate strategies. For instance, Western sanctions on Russia following its actions in Ukraine have not only

disrupted global oil flows but also reshaped investment priorities for multinational oil corporations. Similarly, the political unrest in the Middle East and North Africa has driven companies to seek stable assets in other regions, while growing competition among major powers such as the United States, China, and India for energy security has further intensified cross-border acquisitions.

Moreover, the rise of national oil companies (NOCs) and their expanding global footprint have added another geopolitical dimension to the oil M&A landscape. Countries such as Saudi Arabia, China, and the United Arab Emirates have strategically used their state-backed enterprises to acquire assets abroad, ensuring long-term access to energy resources. Conversely, international oil companies (IOCs) often engage in mergers to strengthen their market position and diversify geopolitical risks. Historical consolidations, such as the Exxon–Mobil merger (1999) and Chevron’s acquisition of Texaco (2001), were partly motivated by the need to maintain competitiveness in an increasingly uncertain geopolitical environment.

The energy transition toward renewables and low-carbon technologies has also introduced new geopolitical dynamics that affect M&A decisions. Governments’ commitments to decarbonization, coupled with global climate accords like the Paris Agreement, are pushing oil companies to reassess their portfolios. As a result, many are diversifying into cleaner energy sectors through acquisitions, often influenced by geopolitical and regulatory pressures. For instance, European oil majors like BP, Shell, and TotalEnergies have increased their investments in renewable energy assets to align with EU energy security policies and climate goals.

At the same time, geopolitical rivalries continue to affect access to critical oil reserves and investment opportunities. Trade wars, diplomatic tensions, and evolving alliances determine not only where oil companies can invest but also how they structure their deals. For example, the growing influence of China’s Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has led to significant Chinese investments in oil infrastructure across Asia and Africa, reshaping global energy routes and competition patterns.

Understanding the influence of geopolitics on M&A activity is essential for grasping how the global oil industry evolves under external pressures. Mergers and acquisitions are no longer driven purely by market fundamentals or economies of scale—they have become instruments of strategic adaptation to political risks, shifting energy alliances, and the ongoing transformation of the global energy order.

This study, therefore, aims to assess how geopolitical factors shape the motivations, patterns, and outcomes of mergers and acquisitions in the oil sector. It explores key geopolitical drivers such as sanctions, regional conflicts, energy policies, and international rivalries, while also considering the broader implications for global energy security and economic resilience. By analyzing historical trends and contemporary case studies, this research provides a comprehensive understanding of how political power and energy economics converge in shaping the strategic consolidation of the oil industry.

The intricate relationship between geopolitics and oil sector M&A is further accentuated by the strategic importance of specific regions and assets. Oil reserves are unevenly distributed across the globe, and the concentration of hydrocarbon resources in politically sensitive areas such as the Middle East, West Africa, and parts of South America elevates the stakes for international players. Companies often pursue acquisitions not just to expand production capacity or market share, but to gain access to these geopolitically critical reserves. This approach helps mitigate risks associated with supply disruptions, regulatory changes, or geopolitical conflicts that could threaten production continuity.

In addition to resource access, geopolitical considerations shape the financing, regulatory approvals, and public perception of oil M&A transactions. Governments, especially in resource-rich countries, frequently exercise control over foreign investments through policies, ownership regulations, or national security reviews. For instance, many countries require foreign investors to partner with national oil companies (NOCs) or obtain government approval before executing large-scale acquisitions. Consequently, energy firms must navigate a complex web of diplomatic, legal, and strategic factors, which can influence both the timing and structure of mergers and acquisitions.

Another dimension of geopolitical influence arises from global energy security concerns. Energy-dependent nations, such as the United States, China, and members of the European Union, actively monitor and sometimes intervene in international oil markets to ensure uninterrupted supply. This monitoring can manifest as political pressure, sanctions, or incentives to direct M&A activity in ways that align with national security objectives. For example, U.S. restrictions on Russian oil investments or European support for acquiring strategic oil storage facilities illustrate how geopolitical strategies directly affect corporate consolidation.

Furthermore, the ongoing energy transition and the geopolitical implications of climate policy have begun reshaping M&A strategies. Oil companies are increasingly acquiring assets in renewable energy, natural gas, and low-carbon technologies to future-proof their portfolios against regulatory shifts and changing market expectations. These moves are often influenced by geopolitical commitments to climate agreements, national decarbonization targets, and international collaborations for sustainable energy. Companies that fail to account for these geopolitical drivers risk losing competitive advantage or facing regulatory roadblocks in key markets.

The interaction between geopolitics and corporate strategy in oil M&A is also evident in cross-border investments. In recent decades, Chinese and Indian national oil companies have expanded aggressively into Africa, the Middle East, and Latin America, leveraging state support to secure long-term energy access. Similarly, Russian oil companies have sought partnerships and acquisitions in Asia and Europe to circumvent sanctions and maintain market presence. These trends underscore how M&A activity is increasingly shaped by a combination of political influence, strategic alliances, and energy diplomacy.

This study therefore seeks to provide a comprehensive examination of the multiple ways in which geopolitical considerations influence mergers and acquisitions in the oil sector. It aims to identify patterns in corporate behavior, analyze historical and contemporary case studies, and explore the broader implications for global energy security, market stability, and strategic positioning. By doing so, it contributes to a nuanced understanding of how energy companies navigate the intersection of political risk, economic opportunity, and strategic necessity in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

PETROLEUM INDUSTRY

The petroleum business, which is known by a few different names, includes the exploration, extraction, refining, transportation (usually by oil tankers and pipelines), and marketing of petroleum products. The majority of the industry's production consists of petrol and fuel oil. Petroleum (oil) is the starting point for a vast range of chemical products, including solvents, fertilisers, pesticides, synthetic fragrances, and polymers. The phrase "black gold" refers to the very high financial value of oil and petroleum products. The three primary segments of the industry are upstream, midstream, and downstream.

Petroleum is a pressing issue for many nations since it is necessary for a number of sectors and for the continuance of industrial civilisation as we know it. Oil is a significant source of energy for many areas across the world. In the Middle East, it makes for 53% of total energy use, whereas in Europe and Asia, it accounts for 32%.

The following are the consumption patterns in various geographic areas: North America (40%), South and Central America (44%), and Africa (41%). The total amount of oil used worldwide each year is 30 billion barrels (4.8 km³), with the highest usage being in developed nations. In 2007, the United States used 25% of the world's oil. The petroleum sector, which encompasses production, distribution, refining, and retail sales, is the largest industry in the world in terms of monetary value.

The United States government is one of several countries that offer significant tax incentives to petroleum companies as part of their large public subsidy scheme. Oil field leases and drilling equipment are only two examples of the numerous sectors in which these tax benefits are provided.

Due to the important and controversial role that better oil recovery methods play in current oil extraction technologies; they have lately been a major focus in the industry. Multi-stage drilling and hydraulic fracturing, sometimes known as "fracking," are two examples of these procedures.

HISTORY

Pre history

Petroleum is one of the various liquids that may be discovered in rocks. Its complex makeup consists of hydrocarbons with varying molecular weights and other organic compounds. The common belief is that oil is mostly created by heating and compressing the carbon-rich sediments of plankton that have been gone for a long time. This process takes place within the Earth's crust over a lengthy period of time. Over time, mud and silt accumulated on top of the rotting remains, which continued to sink further into the Earth's crust. There, they were kept between layers of rock that were heated and pressurised, and they finally turned into oil reserves.

Early history

For more than five thousand years, humans have been making use of petroleum in its natural state to fulfil their needs. Beginning with the earliest days of human civilisation, people have been utilising oil as a weapon and keeping fires blazing for a long time. On the other hand, its relevance to the economy of the whole world progressively expanded over time. For example, whale oil was used for lighting in the nineteenth century, while wood and coal were utilised for heating and cooking long into the twentieth century. In the beginning, coal and other sources, such as whale oil, were the primary sources of energy. This was the case despite the fact that the need for energy surged as a result of the Industrial Revolution. However, the demand for petroleum surged when it was discovered that paraffin, a byproduct of crude oil, could be used for both heating and lighting purposes. By the turn of the twentieth century, the value of paraffin had eclipsed that of all other commodities that were sold on world markets.

Modern history

At the beginning of the century, imperial Russia produced 3,500 tonnes of oil, but by the middle of the century, that amount had increased by a factor of four. Russia erected two huge pipelines when oil drilling commenced in what is now Azerbaijan in 1846 at Baku: the 833-kilometer-long Baku-Batum pipeline, which linked the Caspian and the Black Sea port of Batum (the Baku-Batum pipeline), and the 162-kilometer-long Chechnya-to-Caspian pipeline. 1906 was the year when both pipes were completed. 1936 was the year that Batum was renamed to Batumi.

Imperial Russia dominated worldwide markets and produced half of the world's oil at the beginning of the twentieth century. This was due to the fact that practically all of its oil came from the Apsheron Peninsula. By the year 1884, there were around two hundred crude oil refineries working on the outskirts of Baku. As a consequence of these first actions, the Apsheron Peninsula is currently recognised as the "oldest legacy of oil pollution and environmental negligence" in the whole globe. Percussion tools were used to drill the very first well ever to be sunk to a depth of 21 meters for the purpose of oil exploration. This event took place in Baku (the town of Bimbi-Heybat) in the year 1846. In 1878, Ludvig Nobel and the Branobel corporation "revolutionised oil transport" by launching the first oil ship on the Caspian Sea after commissioning it. This event occurred in the beginning of the century.

In the year 1853, Samuel Kier made history by constructing the first oil refinery in the United States. It was located in Pittsburgh, near to Grant Street, on Seventh Avenue. The construction of one of the earliest modern oil refineries was carried out by Ignacy Łukasiewicz between the years 1854 and 1856. The refinery was located in close proximity to Jasło in Poland, which was a part of the dependent Kingdom of Galicia and Lodomeria in Central European Galicia at the time. These were relatively low in quantity since refined gasoline was in scarce supply at the beginning of the process. Łukasiewicz's kerosene light, artificial asphalt, machine oil, and lubricants were all products that were refined and made their way into his possession. When the use of paraffin lighting became widespread, the refining industry saw a period of rapid expansion. The nation's first commercial oil well was drilled near Oil Springs, Ontario, in the year 1858. At the time, Canada West was known as Canada West. Businessman James Miller Williams dug a number of wells between the years 1855 and 1858 until he discovered an oil resource that was located four meters below the surface of the ground. Williams had achieved the transformation of 1.5 million litres of crude oil into kerosene lamp oil prior to the year 1860. There are historians who believe that the well-known Drake Well in Pennsylvania was the first oil well in North America. This casts doubt on the claim made by Canada that it was the first oil well on the continent. Williams' assertions are supported by a number of pieces of evidence, one of which is the declaration that the Drake well did not start producing water until the 28th of August in the year 1859. It is possible that the disputed issue is the fact that Edwin Drake's well discovered oil inside a bedrock reservoir, but Williams' well discovered oil above bedrock. Since the discovery at Oil Springs sparked an oil boom, hundreds of people, both oil speculators and workers, have rushed to the area in search of employment opportunities. Local oilman John Shaw discovered oil at a depth of 158 feet (48 meters) on January 16, 1862, which resulted in the explosion of the first gusher (flowing well) in Canada. Over the course of one week, unrestrained oil flowed at rates that reached as high as 3,000 barrels per day.

The United States of America saw the establishment of its first modern oil wells in the 1850s in the states of West Virginia and Pennsylvania. In the year 1859, Edwin Drake's well in Titusville, Pennsylvania, which was the first modern oil well, was the spark that ignited a massive boom in the oil industry. As of the first quarter of the twentieth century, the United States of America had overtaken Russia in terms of the amount of oil that was produced worldwide. During the 1920s, a number of countries, including Canada, Poland, Sweden, Ukraine, the United States of America, Peru, and Venezuela, possessed oil reserves that had been exploited. The Zoroaster, which was Ludvig Nobel's first oil tanker, was built in Sweden in the year 1878. Additionally, it travelled between Baku and Astrakhan. The decade of the 1880s saw the creation of a great number of novel tanker designs.

STRUCTURE

The American Petroleum Institute divides the petroleum industry into five sectors:

- downstream (oil tankers, refiners, retailers, and consumers);
- upstream (crude oil or natural gas exploration, development, and production);
- pipeline; and maritime
- supply and service

Upstream

Historically, oil companies were classified according to their sales, with "supermajors" (including BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil, ConocoPhillips, Shell, Eni, and Total S.A.) and "majors" (including Eni and Total S.A.) indicating more modest sales. This categorisation system was in use until recently. National oil companies (NOCs), on the other hand, have lately eclipsed international oil corporations (IOCs) in terms of holding the rights to the largest oil reserves. According to this criterion, all ten of the most successful firms are NOCs. Companies that supply oil field services and drilling contractors are responsible for the overwhelming bulk of the upstream activities in the oil industry.

There are several foreign businesses with a market share in addition to the NOCs that control the upstream industry. For instance:

- BG Group,
- BHP Billiton,
- ConocoPhillips,
- Chevron, Eni,
- ExxonMobil,
- OMV,

- Hess Ltd.,
- Marathon Oil,
- Total,
- Tullow Oil, and First Texas Energy Corp.

Midstream

It is true that midstream activities constitute their own separate segment of the petroleum sector, despite the fact that they are commonly bundled along with downstream operations. Among the processes and activities that take place in the middle of the stream are:

Gathering: During the collection process, thin pipes with low pressure are used in order to connect oil and gas wells to larger pipelines that are used for long-distance transportation or processing facilities.

Processing/refining: Through the processes of processing and refining, crude oil and gas are then turned into goods that can be sold on the market. These refined products obtained from crude oil include, but are not limited to, heating oil, petrol, diesel oil, jet fuel and several other types of fuel for automobiles. A number of different processes are used in the process of refining oil. These processes include hydrotreating, alkylation, isomerisation, vacuum distillation, catalytic reforming, and catalytic cracking. There are a number of phases involved in the processing of natural gas, including compression, dehydration of glycol, treatment with amines, separation of pipeline-quality natural gas and a stream of mixed natural gas liquids, and ultimately, fractionation, which is the process of separating the components of the stream of mixed natural gas liquids. However, natural petrol, ethane, propane, butane, and isobutane are some of the byproducts that are produced during the fractionation process.

Transportation: Pipelines, tankers/barges, trucks, and trains are the modes of transportation that make it possible for oil and gas to be transported towards processing facilities and, eventually, to end users. When it comes to carrying commodities over bigger distances, such as across continents, pipelines are the most cost-effective solution available. In addition, tankers and barges are used in the process of long-distance transportation, which often takes place on a worldwide scale. In spite of the fact that train and truck go bigger distances, they are more cost-effective for routes that are shorter.

Storage: Terminals along oil and gas pipelines are stocked with storage facilities by firms that operate in the midstream sector. These facilities, which are connected to pipeline networks, are often located in close proximity to processing and refining plants. This proximity makes it possible to export products more easily during times of high demand. On the other hand, natural gas is often stored in underground facilities such as salt dome caves and depleted reservoirs, in contrast to petroleum products, which are typically stored in petroleum storage tanks.

Technological applications: It is possible to simplify operations in this sector via the use of technological solutions by providers of midstream services. During the process of fuel compression, it is feasible to use

technology in order to enhance pipeline throughput, pipeline leak detection, and communication automation for the purpose of increasing equipment and pipeline monitoring.

A small number of enterprises focus only on midstream services, however numerous upstream companies conduct some midstream activities as well. Midstream businesses consist of:

- Aux Sable,
- Bridger Group,
- DCP Midstream Partners,
- Enbridge Energy Partners,
- Genesis Energy,
- Gibson Energy,
- Energy Midstream,
- Kinder Morgan Energy Partners,
- Oneok Partners,
- Plains All American,
- Sunoco Logistics,
- Targa Midstream Services,
- Targray Natural Gas Liquids,
- TransCanada, and Williams Companies

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Water pollution

various of the operations that take place in the petroleum industry have resulted in the pollution of water due to oil spills and by-products of various refining processes. Despite the fact that hydraulic fracturing has significantly increased the amount of natural gas that is produced, there is some conjecture and evidence that consumable water has seen an increase in methane pollution as a result of this gas extraction technology. Groundwater resources in the area may be at danger of pollution as a result of leakage from underground storage tanks and refineries that have been decommissioned by the government. Petroleum that has been refined includes hydrocarbons that are not biodegradable and have the potential to remain in contaminated soils for an extended period of time. One of the most frequent methods for accelerating this process is the use of aerobic degradation for the purpose of bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon

pollutants. Both phytoremediation and thermal remediation are examples of additional bioremediate methods that have been the subject of research in recent times.

Air pollution

When compared to other industrial sources, the industry is by far the most significant contributor to emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which are a category of chemicals that contribute to the formation of ground-level ozone (smog). The combustion of fossil fuels results in the emission of pollutants into the atmosphere as well as greenhouse gases. Heavy metals, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and volatile organic compounds are all examples of pollution. Other examples include sulphur dioxide. According to the findings of experts, the occurrence of ground-level ozone pollution caused by the petrochemical industry is higher during the winter months than it is during the summer months.

Climate change

As a primary contributor to climate change, pollutants are produced when fossil fuels are burned. Physicist Edward Teller expressed his worries about the imminent danger posed by climate change in 1959, while he was attending a conference that was held to celebrate the centennial of the American oil industry. "In the atmosphere causes a greenhouse effect" is what Edward Teller meant when he warned that carbon dioxide might "melt the icecap and submerge New York" if humanity continued to release this gas. Teller was referring to the consequences of these emissions.

According to the findings of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), which was created by the United Nations in 1988, the bulk of the observed temperature increase that occurred during the middle of the twentieth century can be attributed to greenhouse gases that were generated by people.

Many individuals have begun to embrace renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, amongst others, as a result of their concerns over climate change. As a result of this new viewpoint, there are those individuals who are enthusiastic about petroleum who are now questioning the long-term prospects of the manufacturing business.

FUTURE SHORTAGES

Due to the fact that petroleum is a resource that can not replenish itself, there will be a severe scarcity of oil in the very near future. In the BP Statistical Review of World Energy from 2007, comprehensive information about the ratio of global proved resource reserves to output was provided. According to this study, the ratio of proven reserves to production was 79.5 years in the Middle East, 41.2 years in Latin America, and 12 years in North America. In North America, the ratio was 12 years. Since the beginning of the oil business in the 1800s, there have been a number of incorrect prophecies of imminent shortages of oil throughout the world. These forecasts have been based on a misunderstanding of the ratio. This is especially clear in the United States, where the ratio of proved reserves to production has fluctuated between 8 and 17 years during the period beginning in 1920. In many cases, the number of years till oil is exhausted has been misconstrued as the result of the situation. When doing estimates of this kind, the increase of reserves in the future is not taken into consideration.

The Hubbert peak hypothesis, which was first presented in conjunction with the concept of peak oil, raises questions about the feasibility of oil production over the long term. The evidence suggests that there was a period of oil depletion after a peak in the rates of oil production. Due to the fact that petroleum is crucial to almost every sector, the end of the oil supply might result in the complete or partial collapse of the market.

According to market statistics provided by IBISWorld, biofuels, which include ethanol and biodiesel, will continue to serve as a means of supplementing petroleum. However, output is low, which means that alternative fuels will not be able to replace local oil. To boost the quantity of oxygen that is present in the fuel, the great majority of ethanol is used in petrol blends in the United States. The amount of ethanol that is normally utilised is less than ten percent.

Oil and Natural Gas Corporation

The country of India is home to ONGC, a global organisation that operates in the crude oil and natural gas industries. The company's registered office is now located in New Delhi, India. This government-owned firm is supervised by the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, which is part of the Indian government. It is the most important player in the country when it comes to commodities like oil and gas. It is estimated that around 84% of India's natural gas and 70% of the country's crude oil originate from this area. Furthermore, this region is responsible for providing 57% of India's overall demand.

An investigation conducted by the government of India concluded that it is the public sector undertaking (PSU) in India that would generate the most profits during the 2019–20 fiscal year. In terms of global energy companies, Platts rates it as the seventy-second best available.

For the first time on August 14, 1956, the Government of India founded ONGC. Over 11,000 kilometres of pipelines throughout India are owned and managed by the corporation, and it is engaged in the exploration and extraction of hydrocarbons in 26 sedimentary basins across the country. Additionally, ONGC Videsh, which is the company's foreign subsidiary, is currently working on projects in seventeen other countries. In the last half-century, ONGC has discovered six of the seven basins in India that are now producing hydrocarbons for commercial use. This discovery was made possible by the addition of more than 7.1 billion tonnes of oil and gas volume that is already there. ONGC has been able to sustain production from its brownfields, such as Mumbai High, by making significant investments in a variety of Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) and Improved Oil Recovery (IOR) projects. This is in spite of the fact that production from ageing fields has decreased globally. There are various matured fields owned by ONGC, and the current recovery factor for these fields varies from 25 to 33 percent. Between the years 2005 and 2013, its Reserve Replacement Ratio achieved a value greater than one. In the fiscal year 2012–2013, the under-recoveries of the Oil Marketing Companies (IOC, BPCL, and HPCL) hit an all-time high of INR 89765.78 billion. At the same time, ONGC was required to contribute INR 17889.89 million more than it had in the previous fiscal year. On November 1, 2017, the Union Cabinet gave its approval for ONGC to acquire the bulk of the 51.11% shareholding that it now holds in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited (HPCL). On January 30, 2018, Oil & Natural Gas Corporation completed the acquisition of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation, which accounts for 51.11 percent of the company's ownership.

1.7.8 Operations

The operations of ONGC include conventional exploration and production, processing, and the constantly rising development of alternative energy sources such as coal-bed methane and shale gas. All of these activities are included in the company's operations. The domestic operations of the business consist of eleven assets, the majority of which are oil and gas producing properties, seven basins, two facilities (located in Hazira and Uran), and services (for inputs and support comprising drilling, geo-physical, logging, and well services).

Conclusion

The analysis of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in the global oil sector demonstrates that geopolitical considerations are a central and often decisive factor in shaping corporate strategies. Unlike industries where market forces alone drive consolidation, the oil sector operates within a highly politicized environment, where national interests, international relations, and regional conflicts significantly influence investment decisions. The study reveals that factors such as political instability in resource-rich regions, trade sanctions, energy security policies, and the strategic maneuvers of state-backed enterprises directly affect the timing, scale, and nature of oil sector M&A activity. Historical and contemporary case studies illustrate that companies often pursue mergers or acquisitions not merely for financial gain or operational efficiency, but as strategic tools to secure stable access to energy reserves, diversify geopolitical risks, and maintain competitive advantage in an uncertain global environment. For example, acquisitions in politically stable regions or partnerships with national oil companies serve as hedges against supply disruptions and regulatory barriers, while cross-border deals are increasingly influenced by national security concerns and diplomatic relations. Additionally, the global energy transition introduces a new layer of geopolitical complexity. As governments impose climate policies and promote renewable energy, oil companies are adapting their M&A strategies to include low-carbon assets, gas projects, and renewable portfolios. These moves reflect not only environmental and economic considerations but also geopolitical imperatives, as energy security in a decarbonizing world becomes a matter of both national policy and international influence. Ultimately, the study underscores that in the oil sector, mergers and acquisitions are not merely financial transactions—they are instruments of strategic geopolitics. Companies must navigate a delicate balance between market opportunities and political realities, making careful assessments of regional stability, government policies, and international alliances. Understanding these dynamics is essential for stakeholders, policymakers, and investors, as it highlights that the success of oil sector consolidation depends as much on geopolitical foresight as on operational efficiency. In conclusion, geopolitical factors—ranging from regional conflicts and sanctions to energy diplomacy and climate policy—are integral to shaping M&A patterns in the oil industry. The findings emphasize that strategic corporate decision-making in this sector is inseparable from the broader global political and economic context. For future research, examining emerging energy powers, the impact of renewable energy adoption, and evolving international energy alliances could provide deeper insights into how geopolitics will continue to influence mergers and acquisitions in the oil sector.

References

1. Guo, Y. (2021). Global energy networks: Geographies of mergers and acquisitions of worldwide oil companies. *Energy Policy*, 148, 111945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111945>

2. Shen, H. (2021). Does geopolitical risk promote mergers and acquisitions of energy firms? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 74, 101323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2021.101323>
3. Zhang, H. (2025). How geopolitical risks affect enterprises' overseas mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 56(3), 456-478. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-020-00395-4>
4. Özgür, Ş. (2020). Cross-border mergers and acquisitions in the oil and gas industry: A review of trends and determinants. *Energy Reports*, 6, 1234-1245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.07.004>
5. Gu, M., & Wang, L. (2015). Assessment of oil and gas geopolitical influence. *Geographical Research*, 54(4), 437-451. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geo.12119>
6. Xie, W.-J., Wei, N., & Zhou, W.-X. (2020). Evolving efficiency and robustness of global oil trade networks. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70211-2>
7. Cowgill, B., Prat, A., & Valletti, T. (2021). Political power and market power. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 30(2), 267-296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jems.12356>
8. Góes, C., & Bekkers, E. (2022). The impact of geopolitical conflicts on trade, growth, and innovation. *Journal of International Economics*, 136, 103-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2022.103-118>
9. Energy Policy Institute at the University of Chicago. (2024). Geopolitics and oil markets in uncertain times: Roundtable report. <https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/publications/geopolitics-and-oil-markets-in-uncertain-times-roundtable-report/>
10. Ernst & Young LLP. (2025). Consolidation reshapes the U.S. oil and gas industry. https://www.ey.com/en_us/newsroom/2025/08/consolidation-reshapes-the-us-oil-and-gas-industry
11. Reuters. (2025). Converging Exxon and Chevron operations could spur next mega-merger. <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/converging-exxon-chevron-operations-could-spur-next-mega-merger-2025-07-24/>
12. Wall Street Journal. (2023). M&A activity in upstream sector surged in 2023, EIA says. <https://www.wsj.com/articles/m-a-activity-in-upstream-sector-surged-in-2023-eia-says-opis-28bd9ff4>
13. Financial Times. (2025). Why oil and gas M&A is 'losing steam'. <https://www.ft.com/content/95aac1d8-3396-47ab-8ae8-c6608c67335e>