



Centre–State Relations under the Constitution of India: Challenges and Prospects

Rinki¹, Dr. Kehar Singh²

Research Scholar¹, Supervisor²

Department of Political Science, Malwanchal University, Indore

Abstract

This paper examines the intricate federal structure of India, characterized by its unique blend of federal and unitary features. Often described as "quasi-federal," the Indian Constitution establishes a system that prioritizes national unity and territorial integrity while providing states with significant autonomy in regional governance. Through an analysis of the distribution of powers in the Seventh Schedule, the role of the judiciary, and the "Union of States" concept under Article 1, this study explores how the Indian model balances central authority with regional aspirations. The paper further discusses the evolution of the system from a centralizing tendency toward modern "cooperative federalism," highlighting the mechanisms that facilitate collaboration between the Union and the States in a diverse and vast polity.

Keywords: *Indian Federalism, Quasi-Federal, Seventh Schedule, Union of States, Cooperative Federalism, Constitutional Law, Centre-State Relations.*

I. Introduction

The federal structure of India stands as one of the most distinctive experiments in modern constitutionalism. Designed by the framers to manage a subcontinent marked by profound linguistic, cultural, and geographical diversity, the Indian Constitution does not follow the "classical" federal model seen in nations like the United States. Instead, it creates a "Union of States" where the central government is endowed with significant powers to ensure stability and national integrity.

At its core, Indian federalism is built upon the functional distribution of powers between the Union and the State governments. This relationship is codified through a written and supreme Constitution, an independent judiciary, and a clear division of legislative subjects. However, the inclusion of unitary features—such as the appointment of Governors, emergency provisions, and integrated services—gives

the Indian system its "quasi-federal" character. This introduction sets the stage to explore the constitutional basis of this division, the challenges of balancing central authority with regional autonomy, and the shifting dynamics of Centre-State relations in the contemporary era.

II. Federal Structure under the Constitution of India

The federal structure of India is one of the most distinctive features of its constitutional system. The framers of the Constitution of India designed a governmental framework that combines both federal and unitary characteristics. This structure was adopted to manage the country's vast geographical expanse, cultural diversity, and regional variations while ensuring national unity and stability. Unlike classical federations such as the United States, the Indian model of federalism reflects a strong central authority along with autonomous state governments.

The Constitution establishes a system in which powers are distributed between the Union government and the State governments through constitutional provisions. This distribution enables both levels of government to function independently within their respective spheres of authority while maintaining coordination and cooperation. The Indian federal structure is therefore often described as "quasi-federal" or "federal with a strong centralizing tendency" (Basu, 2018).

1. India as a Union of States

One of the fundamental features of the Constitution is the declaration that "India, that is Bharat, shall be a Union of States." This principle is stated in Article 1 of the Constitution. The term "Union of States" was deliberately chosen by the framers instead of the word "Federation." According to B. R. Ambedkar, this expression was used to emphasize that the Indian federation was not the result of an agreement among independent states. Instead, the states derive their authority from the Constitution itself and cannot secede from the Union.

The concept of India as a Union reflects the objective of maintaining the political unity and territorial integrity of the country. The framers were concerned that excessive autonomy for states could threaten national unity, particularly in a newly independent nation that faced several challenges such as regional disparities, linguistic diversity, and socio-economic inequalities.

Under the Constitution, the territory of India consists of states, union territories, and other territories that may be acquired in the future. The Parliament has the power to create new states, alter the

boundaries of existing states, or change their names through constitutional procedures. This flexibility allows the Union government to reorganize states based on administrative convenience, linguistic considerations, or political demands.

The process of state reorganization in India demonstrates the dynamic nature of the federal structure. For instance, the reorganization of states on linguistic lines during the 1950s and the creation of new states in later decades illustrate the adaptability of the Indian federal system in responding to regional aspirations. Although states enjoy a significant degree of autonomy in their respective spheres, they remain integral parts of the Union. The Constitution ensures that the unity of the nation is preserved while allowing states to participate in the governance process.

2. Distribution of Powers between the Union and the States

A defining characteristic of federal systems is the distribution of powers between different levels of government. The Constitution of India provides a detailed framework for the division of legislative powers through the Seventh Schedule.

The Seventh Schedule contains three lists:

1. Union List

The Union List includes subjects of national importance such as defence, foreign affairs, banking, currency, communication, and atomic energy. Only the Parliament has the authority to legislate on these matters.

2. State List

The State List contains subjects primarily related to regional or local importance. These include public order, police, public health, agriculture, irrigation, and local government. State legislatures have the power to make laws on these subjects.

3. Concurrent List

The Concurrent List includes subjects on which both the Union and the States can legislate. These subjects include education, forests, trade unions, marriage and divorce, and economic planning. In case of conflict between Union law and State law on a concurrent subject, the Union law generally prevails.

This system of distribution of powers is intended to maintain a balance between national interests and regional autonomy. It allows states to govern matters that are primarily local in nature while ensuring that issues of national importance remain under the authority of the Union government (Jain, 2016).

3. Federal Features of the Constitution

The Constitution incorporates several features that reflect the principles of federalism.

1. Written Constitution

India has a written constitution that clearly defines the powers and functions of the Union and the States. A written constitution is an essential feature of federal systems because it provides a legal framework for the distribution of powers and prevents conflicts between different levels of government.

2. Supremacy of the Constitution

The Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and all governmental authorities must function within its provisions. Any law that violates the Constitution can be declared unconstitutional by the judiciary.

3. Division of Powers

The Constitution clearly divides legislative powers between the Union and the States through the three lists mentioned in the Seventh Schedule. This distribution ensures that both levels of government operate within their respective jurisdictions.

4. Independent Judiciary

An independent judiciary is another important feature of federal systems. The Supreme Court of India acts as the guardian of the Constitution and resolves disputes between the Union and the States. It also ensures that constitutional provisions are properly interpreted and implemented.

5. Bicameral Legislature

The Indian Parliament consists of two houses: the Lok Sabha and the Rajya Sabha. The Rajya Sabha represents the states and provides them with a role in the national legislative process. This arrangement strengthens the federal character of the Constitution.

6. Rigid Constitution

Certain provisions of the Constitution require a special procedure for amendment. Some amendments must be approved not only by Parliament but also by at least half of the state legislatures. This requirement protects the federal structure by ensuring that states participate in constitutional changes affecting their powers.

4. Unitary Features of the Constitution

Although the Constitution incorporates several federal characteristics, it also includes certain unitary features that strengthen the authority of the central government. These provisions were introduced to ensure stability and unity in a diverse country.

- **Strong Central Government**

The Constitution grants significant powers to the Union government. For example, the Union List contains more subjects than the State List, reflecting the central government's dominant role in governance.

- **Emergency Provisions**

The Constitution includes emergency provisions that allow the Union government to assume greater control over the states during national crises. During a national emergency, the federal structure may temporarily become more centralized.

- **Appointment of Governors**

State governors are appointed by the President of India, who acts on the advice of the Union government. Governors play an important role in state administration and can influence the relationship between the Centre and the States.

- **Integrated Judicial System**

India has a single integrated judicial system rather than separate federal and state courts. This system ensures uniformity in the interpretation and application of laws across the country.

- **All-India Services**

Administrative services such as the Indian Administrative Service (IAS) and the Indian Police Service (IPS) operate under the authority of the Union government but serve both the Centre and the States. These services help maintain administrative consistency across the country.

III. Nature of Indian Federalism

The nature of federalism in India has been widely debated among constitutional scholars and political scientists. The Indian constitutional framework establishes a system of governance in which powers are distributed between the central government and the state governments. However, unlike classical federations such as the United States, the Indian model incorporates both federal and unitary characteristics. Because of this unique arrangement, scholars often describe the Indian system as quasi-federal, meaning a federal system with a strong centralizing tendency.

The federal structure of India is primarily derived from the provisions of the Constitution of India, which outlines the division of legislative, administrative, and financial powers between the Union and the States. The framers of the Constitution were aware of India's vast diversity and the need to maintain national unity while allowing regional autonomy. As a result, they designed a constitutional framework that balances both federal and unitary features (Basu, 2018).

Concept of Quasi-Federalism

The term quasi-federal refers to a system that possesses certain characteristics of federalism but also includes elements of a unitary form of government. In classical federal systems, such as the United States, the federal government and the states are considered coordinate and independent within their respective spheres of authority. However, in India, the central government enjoys relatively greater powers compared to the states. Several constitutional scholars have analyzed the nature of Indian federalism. The well-known constitutional scholar K. C. Wheare described the Indian Constitution as "quasi-federal with a strong centralizing tendency" because the Constitution grants extensive powers to the Union government (Wheare, 1963).

The framers of the Constitution intentionally adopted this structure because India faced several challenges at the time of independence, including political instability, economic difficulties, and social diversity. They believed that a strong central government was necessary to maintain unity and stability in the country.

Constitutional Basis of Indian Federalism

The constitutional framework of Indian federalism provides a clear distribution of powers between the Union and the States. This distribution is mainly achieved through the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution, which contains three legislative lists: the Union List, the State List, and the Concurrent List.

The Union List contains subjects of national importance such as defence, foreign affairs, banking, and communications. Only the Parliament has the authority to legislate on these matters. The State List includes subjects related to regional and local governance, such as public order, police, agriculture, and local government. State legislatures have the power to enact laws on these subjects. The Concurrent List includes subjects on which both the Union and the States can legislate. These subjects include education, forests, marriage laws, and economic planning. In cases where there is a conflict between Union and State laws on concurrent subjects, the Union law generally prevails (Jain, 2016). This structured distribution of legislative powers reflects the federal nature of the Constitution. However, certain provisions allow the central government to exercise authority over state matters under specific circumstances, thereby strengthening the centralizing tendency of the system.

Quasi-Federal Structure of India

The quasi-federal nature of the Indian Constitution is reflected in several provisions that grant significant powers to the Union government. One such feature is the strong central government. The Union List contains a larger number of subjects compared to the State List, indicating the dominant role of the central government in governance. Additionally, the Constitution grants residuary powers—powers over subjects not mentioned in any list—to the Union government.

Another feature that reflects the quasi-federal nature of the Constitution is the presence of emergency provisions. During situations such as national emergencies or constitutional crises, the central government can assume greater control over the states. In such circumstances, the federal structure temporarily becomes more centralized. The appointment of state governors by the President is another example of central influence over state administration. Governors act as representatives of the Union government in the states and perform certain constitutional functions that may affect Centre–State relations.

Furthermore, India has an integrated administrative system through the All-India Services, which include services such as the Indian Administrative Service (IAS) and the Indian Police Service (IPS).

These services operate under the authority of the Union government but serve both the Centre and the States. This arrangement ensures administrative uniformity and coordination across the country. These provisions collectively demonstrate that while the Constitution provides autonomy to states, it also ensures that the central government retains sufficient authority to maintain national unity and administrative efficiency.

IV. Balance between Unity and Regional Autonomy

One of the most important objectives of the Indian federal system is to maintain a balance between national unity and regional autonomy. India is a country with immense cultural, linguistic, and regional diversity. Therefore, a completely centralized system of governance would not have been suitable for addressing local needs and regional aspirations. At the same time, excessive decentralization could have threatened the unity and integrity of the nation. The framers of the Constitution were aware of these challenges and designed a system that allows states to exercise authority in matters of regional importance while ensuring that the central government retains control over issues affecting national interests.

The concept of cooperative federalism plays an important role in maintaining this balance. Cooperative federalism refers to the collaborative relationship between the Union and the States in policy-making and governance. Instead of functioning in isolation, both levels of government work together to achieve common national objectives. Institutions such as the Finance Commission of India contribute to maintaining fiscal balance between the Centre and the States by recommending the distribution of tax revenues. Such mechanisms promote cooperation and ensure that states have adequate financial resources to perform their functions effectively.

The participation of states in national decision-making through the Rajya Sabha also strengthens the federal structure. The Rajya Sabha represents the states in the Parliament and provides them with an opportunity to influence national legislation.

V. Evolution of Indian Federalism

The nature of Indian federalism has evolved over time through constitutional amendments, judicial interpretations, and political developments. The relationship between the Centre and the States has undergone significant changes as the country has faced new political and economic challenges. Various commissions have been established to examine Centre–State relations and recommend reforms aimed

at strengthening federalism. These efforts have contributed to the gradual development of a more cooperative and balanced federal system.

Judicial decisions have also played an important role in interpreting constitutional provisions related to federalism. The Supreme Court has often acted as the guardian of the Constitution and has clarified the limits of central and state powers in various cases. In recent years, the emphasis on cooperative and competitive federalism has increased. States are encouraged to participate actively in policy formulation and economic development, while the Union government continues to play a coordinating role at the national level.

VI. Conclusion

The nature of Indian federalism is a testament to the pragmatism of the constitutional framers, who sought to create a flexible framework capable of preserving unity without stifling diversity. While the term "quasi-federal" accurately captures the strong centralizing tendency inherent in the Constitution, the system is not static. It has evolved through decades of judicial interpretation, political shifts, and the recommendations of various commissions. The distribution of powers via the Union, State, and Concurrent lists ensures that while the Centre handles matters of national importance, the States remain the primary drivers of regional development. The emergence of "cooperative federalism" represents a maturing of this relationship, moving away from a hierarchical "Big Brother" dynamic toward a collaborative partnership. Ultimately, the strength of the Indian federation lies in its ability to adapt—balancing the necessity of a strong Union with the democratic imperative of regional self-governance. As India continues to grow, the continued refinement of these relations will remain vital for the country's political and economic stability.

References

- Adinolfi, F., & Uricchio, A. F. (2025). *Equalization mechanisms in Italian municipal federalism*.
- Agrawal, D. R., Brueckner, J. K., & Brühlhart, M. (2024). *Fiscal federalism in the twenty-first century*.
- Ahmed, S., Venkatesh, S., Bera, S., & Grover, A. (2025). *District-level analysis of maternal and child health in Uttar Pradesh using GIS-based AHP-TOPSIS methodology on NFHS-5 data*.
- Arens, A., et al. (2020). *Federal reform and intergovernmental relations in Switzerland*.
- Basu, D. D. (2018). *Introduction to the Constitution of India*. LexisNexis.
- Bhattacharyya, H. (2024). *Challenges to asymmetric federalism in India: A comparative perspective*.

- Bjørnskov, C., & Voigt, S. (2024). Keeping up the balance between the federation and the states. In *State of emergency: An economic analysis*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009372138.009>
- Chattopadhyay, R., et al. (2021). *Federalism and the COVID-19 response*.
- Dubey, A. (2025). *Federalism in India: Challenges and future prospects*.
- Fahey, E., et al. (2022). *Coordinated rulemaking within the context of cooperative federalism and administrative law*.
- Fenna, A., et al. (2023a). *Climate governance in federal systems*.
- Fenna, A., et al. (2023b). *Climate governance and federalism*.
- Frantziou, E., et al. (2023). *The preliminary reference procedure in human rights cases as an example of cooperative federalism, 1957–2023*.
- Hausing, K. K. S. (2023). *Intergovernmental relations and the territorial management of diversity in Indian federalism*.
- Joseph, K. J., & Kumary, A. L. (2022). *India's GST paradigm and the trajectory of fiscal federalism: An analysis with special reference to Kerala*.
- Kishor, R. (2024). *Evolving dynamics of Centre–State relations in India*.
- Mueller, S., et al. (2024). *Shared rule in federal theory and practice: Conceptual foundations, causes, and consequences*.
- Rangarajan, C., & Srivastava, D. K. (2024). *Fiscal transfers and federal relations in India*.
- Sharma, N. (2025). The quasi-federal constitution? Taxonomical influences on interpretation of federalism in India. *Global Constitutionalism*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045381725100117>
- Sharma, S., Saxena, R., & Yadav, A. (2025). *Fiscal federalism in India: State revenues and fiscal transfers from the central government*.
- Singh, A., & Sharma, R. (2024). *The practical functioning of federalism within India's constitutional framework*.