



ECOCRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON NATURE, ENVIRONMENT, AND SUSTAINABILITY IN CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH LITERATURE

Dr. Rehana Abdullah

Department of English, Higher Education Department, Union Territory of Jammu & Kashmir

Email: - ruhi.charoo@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The paper explores ecocritical approaches to nature, environment, and sustainability in English writing in the modern era by specifically analyzing the four notable novels: The Hungry Tide, The Ministry of Utmost Happiness, The Overstory and Flight Behavior. The paper is based on the ecocritical theory and asks questions about the ways literary narratives accommodate environmental issues, the interactions between humans and nature, and the moral demands of sustainability in the Anthropocene. With a qualitative, interpretative approach, the study uses close textual reading, thematic analysis, and comparative analysis to examine the various ways these texts build ecological consciousness. The theoretical framework unites the main ideas of ecocentrism, deep ecology, environmental ethics, political ecology, and posthumanism and allows seeing the environmental representation in literature in a multidimensional way. The analysis shows that modern literary works are more and more disruptive to anthropocentric paradigms in that they anticipate the agency of non-human subjects, the interrelatedness of ecological systems, and the precariousness of the balance of the environment. Whereas Richard Powers focuses on the non-human agency and the ecological interdependence, Barbara Kingsolver accentuates the scientific and perceptual aspects of climate change. By contrast, Amitav Ghosh and Arundhati Roy pre-empt the socio-political surroundings of environmental crisis, placing an emphasis on the problems of ecological vulnerability, displacement and environmental justice. According to the study, contemporary English literature acts as a critical location to reconsider sustainability, not as a technical or policy-based concept but as an ethical, cultural and philosophical necessity. The texts are also a part of a developing discourse that places environmental issues in a larger context of power, identity, and responsibility. In conclusion, the paper has shown that ecocritical literary analysis can be used to help understand the intricacies of the Anthropocene, where narrative can play an essential role in creating a more sustainable way of thinking and acting.

Keywords: Ecocriticism, Sustainability, Anthropocene, Environmental Ethics, Contemporary Literature, Climate Fiction.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ecological crisis of the twenty-first century has accelerated and taken the form of climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental degradation, thus forcing a complete reconsideration of the human-nature relationship. In this scenario, literature has become a critical cultural tool where environmental concerns, ethical issues and alternative ecological imaginaries are expressed. In

modern English literature, specifically, there is a growing interest in ecological issues, no longer aesthetically described, but challenging the intricate interdependencies of nature, culture, and power.

Ecocriticism as a dynamic literary and cultural study offers a critical approach to analyzing such changes. It aims to examine the way in which texts form, mediate and problematize dominant views of the environment, and the ideological basis of human relations with the natural world. Contrary to previous literary methodologies that tended to view nature as a passive setting, ecocritical analyses pre-empt the agency of the non-human, the moral of environmental degradation, and the urgency of sustainability in an age of ecological crisis.

The rise of the Anthropocene as a conceptual term has also put a new spin on literary discourse, making it clear how much the human activity is now a geological phenomenon. This has led to the trend of writers not only focusing on environmental destruction but also the epistemological and moral implications of being in a human controlled world. Consequently, modern writings tend to make the divisions between the human and the non-human, the natural and the cultural more permeable, thus forcing to disrupt the traditional binaries that have traditionally organized literary representation.

In this respect, the writings of Amitav Ghosh, Arundhati Roy, Richard Powers and Barbara Kingsolver provide a great deal in understanding how literature addresses the issue of the environment in a variety of geographical-cultural settings. Their novels, *The Hungry Tide*, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, *The Overstory* and *Flight Behavior*, are all a spectrum of ecocritical interests, addressing both climate change and ecological disruption, and environmental justice and non-human agency. These writings not only represent environmental crises, but also challenge the socio-political and ethical systems that generate and maintain them.

The current paper aims at analyzing how modern English literature reinvents the discourse of nature, environment, and sustainability in an ecocritical approach. It seeks to examine the narrative techniques and thematic issues with the help of which the chosen texts express ecological awareness and oppose anthropocentric beliefs. By so doing, the study also examines how literature is useful in the wider environmental discussions as it provides alternative means of explaining human-nature relationships in the Anthropocene.

In more detail, this paper aims to fulfil the following objectives: (i) to analyze the topics of representation of nature and ecological systems in the chosen contemporary novels; (ii) to examine the way these texts approach the topics of sustainability, environmental ethics, climate crisis; (iii) to understand the intersection of the ideas of ecology with the issues of power, identity, and social justice. Placing literary analysis into a multidisciplinary theoretical context, the study aims at proving that the role of contemporary fiction in the development of ecological consciousness and the creation of more eco-friendly ways of thinking is important.

To address these issues, the paper suggests that modern English literature is not only a mirror of the environmental realities but it takes an active part in redefining the ethical and conceptual frameworks of human interaction with nature.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This paper is grounded in the modern ecocritical theory which serves as the theoretical framework to the study of the ways in which literary works interact with the environmental issues, human-nature relations, and sustainability. Instead of viewing nature as a thematic feature, ecocriticism allows intentional questioning of the ideological, ethical and epistemological representations on which the literary descriptions of the environment are based.

Fundamentally, ecocriticism questions the prevalence of anthropocentrism, a worldview which puts human beings as the most important and central beings in the world. Conversely, eco-centric view is based on the inherent worth of non-human life forms and ecological systems. This change plays a key role in the analysis of texts like *The Overstory* in which the narrative disrupts human exceptionalism by prefiguring arboreal agency, and *The Hungry Tide* in which the ecological forces influence and tend to dominate human will. The conflict between anthropocentric and eco-centric paradigm is thereby a guiding paradigm in this study.

The framework also relies on the deep ecology principles, which propose the radical reconsideration of the relations of a human with nature. Deep ecology opposes utilitarian conceptions of nature and focuses on the idea of interconnectedness, interdependence and the intrinsic value of every living being. This view is especially applicable to the interpretation of those narratives which extend agency out to non-human beings and emphasize ecological networks, and thus contest reductionist and exploitative views of nature.

The other crucial aspect of this research is the term of the Anthropocene that identifies the latest geological period marked by the high influence of humans on the ecosystems of the planet. The Anthropocene offers a critical approach to seeing how the ecological crisis, climate change, and ecological instability are reflected in contemporary literature. The disruption of the environment in such works as *Flight Behavior* is portrayed with the help of scientifically-based phenomena, whereas *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* broadens the concept of the Anthropocene by associating the ecological decline with the political struggle, exile, and inequality within the system. In this manner, the Anthropocene is considered not only as a scientific term but also as a cultural and literary phenomenon.

Another concept that is included in the framework is environmental ethics which is a study of moral relations between the natural environment and humans. This point of view is critical to the analysis of the way literary text negotiates the issues of responsibility, justice, and sustainability. Specifically, the paper triggers discussions on conservation, resource consumption and ecological responsibility, bringing into focus the ethical dilemma that arises when the environment conservation is set against human needs and means of living.

Moreover, the political ecology and environmental justice inform the study and preempt the intersections of ecological degradation and power, inequality, and marginalization. This method is particularly applicable to the interpretation of works by Amitav Ghosh and Arundhati Roy, in which

the problems with the environment cannot be discussed outside the context of the questions of displacement, state regulation, and socio-economic inequality. Including these points of view, the framework is no longer based on the aesthetic analysis, it focuses on the material and political aspects of the ecological crisis.

Lastly, the work interacts with posthumanist philosophy that questions epistemologies that are human-centered by highlighting the presence of human and non-human actors within ecological systems that are mutually shared. Posthumanism enables a rethinking of agency, identity, and subjectivity in a manner that is less conforming to current ecological realities. This mode of thought comes in handy especially when considering narratives that expand the line between human and non-human life, which widens the ecocritical inquiry.

Combined, these theoretical approaches offer an all-encompassing and versatile approach to the analysis of modern literary texts. The study combines ecocentrism, deep ecology, the discourse of the Anthropocene, environmental ethics, political ecology, and posthumanism to establish itself as a multidisciplinary discipline that can capture the nature of contemporary environmental issues. This framework does not only inform the analysis of the readings chosen but also allows a more profound realization of how literature helps to develop the discourses on nature, the environment, and sustainability.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The paper is based on qualitative and interpretive research design based on ecocritical literary analysis to explore how nature, environment, and sustainability are represented in the modern English literature. The approach is mostly text-based with closer reading and theoretical analysis of the chosen literary texts by Amitav Ghosh, Arundhati Roy, Richard Powers and Barbara Kingsolver.

3.1 Research Design

The study is based on a descriptive-analytical and interpretive design, which is appropriate in literary and cultural studies. It does not aim at quantifying data but examining meanings, representations and ideological constructions that are embedded in literary texts. It is an interdisciplinary methodology, basing itself on literary studies, environmental humanities, and cultural theory.

The analysis is placed in the context of ecocriticism that becomes the main analytical prism to examine the ways in which literary narratives address ecological issues, human-nature interactions, and sustainability rhetoric.

3.2 Selection of Texts

On the basis of a purposive choice of four modern novels, the study is carried out:

- The Hungry Tide
- The Ministry of Utmost Happiness

- The Overstory
- Flight Behavior

The following criteria were used to pick these texts:

- Topicality to ecocritical issues (nature, climate change, sustainability)
- Modern relevance (21 st -century literary work)
- Geographical diversity (Indian and foreign backgrounds)
- Thematic depth, which makes it possible to explore various ecocritical aspects, including the Anthropocene discourse, the environmental ethics, and ecological justice.

This choice has provided a comparative framework that is balanced, and therefore this study has been able to analyze both Global South and Global North views regarding environmental issues.

3.3 Theoretical Framework and Analytical Approach

The discussion is informed by some of the major concepts of the ecocritical theory, including:

- Anthropocentrism vs. Ecocentrism
- Deep Ecology
- Anthropocene discourse
- Environmental ethics and sustainability.
- Political ecology and environmental justice.

The research has a theory-based textual analysis whereby literary texts have been understood by utilizing these theoretical frameworks. The approach is dialogic, not mechanical, instead of merely relying on theory, the texts can reflect and extrapolate ecocritical models.

3.4 Method of Analysis

Close textual reading is the main approach employed, along with thematic and comparative analysis:

1. Close Reading

- Close analysis of narrative, imagery, symbolism and language.
- Discovery of ecological patterns and images of nature.

2. Thematic Analysis

- Classification of common themes like the crisis of climate, the relationship between humans and nature, ecological imbalance, and sustainability.
- Investigation into the different ways these themes are constructed in texts.

3. Comparative Analysis

- Comparison of texts across to determine convergences and divergences.
- Analysis of the effect of cultural, geographical, and socio-political backgrounds on ecological representation.

This multi-layered methodology guarantees depth (in individual texts) as well as breadth (between texts).

3.5 Scope and Delimitations

It is restricted to four chosen novels and does not seek an exhaustive survey of the literature on ecocriticism in modern times. Although the texts selected offer a lot of thematic diversity, the results are interpretive as opposed to generalizable.

It is more of a literary representation and theoretical interpretation that does not include empirical data and reader-response studies in the analysis. Also, the research is limited to English-language sources, but both Indian and foreign authors are represented to provide diversity to the context.

3.6 Significance of the Methodology

The selected approach allows exploring the contribution of literature to the environmental discourse in a subtle way:

- Making literature meet the ecological theory.
- Making a point of the importance of narrative in developing environmental consciousness.
- Offering a comparative framework that embodies global and local ecological issues.

Through close reading and theoretical interpretation, the study shows how modern fiction serves as a critical space through which the nature, sustainability, and Anthropocene issues are addressed.

IV. ECOCRITICAL ANALYSIS OF SELECTED TEXTS

This part is an ecocritical discussion of four modern novels by Amitav Ghosh, Arundhati Roy, Richard Powers, and Barbara Kingsolver. The individual texts are discussed to encapsulate their individual ecological vision and then an integrative comprehension of how the two works together contribute to the discourses on nature, environment and sustainability in English literature today.

4.1 Amitav Ghosh: Ecological Precarity and Human–Nature Coexistence in The Hungry Tide

In *The Hungry Tide*, Amitav Ghosh draws up the Sundarbans as a border ecological zone in which human life is constantly bargained with the unpredictable nature. In denying the romantic portrayals, Ghosh introduces nature as sustaining and destructive, thus presupposing ecological precarity. The tidal patterns, cyclonic events and the availability of predatory animals are not just a literary device but an operative power that influences the lives of human beings.

One of the most important features of Ghosh ecocritical vision is its focus on the indigenous ecological knowledge systems, in contrast to the external, technocratic, approaches to the environment management. The novel exposes the ethical issues inherent in the discourse of conservation by criticizing conservation models where wildlife is prioritized over human communities. By putting ecological conflict in the context of socio-economic realities, Ghosh goes on to develop a postcolonial ecocritical approach that highlights the imbalance of environmental risk distribution.

Moreover, the text implicitly takes part in the Anthropocene due to the description of an increasing level of ecological vulnerability, in which weak ecosystems such as the Sundarbans are the location of a greater intensity of climate effects. Ghosh thus reinvents sustainability as a negotiation that is lived and context specific between the human and non-human worlds.

4.2 Arundhati Roy: Environmental Justice and Political Ecology in *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*

The Ministry of Utmost Happiness by Arundhati Roy broadens the ecocriticism by introducing environmental issues into the context of larger systems of political authority, marginalization, and opposition. In contrast to the traditional nature-based stories, the text by Roy offers ecology as something that cannot be discussed outside of the socio-political reality, thus adhering to the paradigm of political ecology.

Roy prefigures the overlaps of ecological destruction and social injustice, and how ecological damage disproportionately impacts marginalized communities. The landscapes in the novel (urban, rural and conflict-ridden landscapes) are characterized by fragmentation as it represents the disruption of the ecological and social systems. Nature is not presented as something pristine but a disputed zone that has been militarized, urbanized and controlled by the state.

The ecocritical power of the novel is that it insists that sustainability cannot be separated out of the issues of equity and human rights. Roy questions prevailing environmental discourses, which ignore the problem of displacement and dispossession, thus redefining sustainability as an ethical and political project. By so doing, she takes ecocriticism far beyond conservationist discourse to a more inclusive ecological justice model.

4.3 Richard Powers: Non-Human Agency and Deep Ecology in *The Overstory*

The Overstory by Richard Powers can be viewed as the ecocritical fiction introducing a paradigmatic change with its radical decentering of the human subject. The novel makes trees intelligent, speaking creatures and in so doing, it undermines the anthropocentric hierarchies and promotes the ecological

worldview. Powers provokes a redefinition of the limits between human and non-human being by foregrounding arboreal life as a living agent.

The story works on a long temporal plane, contrasting the lifespan of the trees with the shortness of human existence. This reconfiguration of time is a critique of the short-term logic of capitalist exploitation, and the necessity of long-term ecological thinking. The characters in the novel experience some transformative experiences with nature resulting in some forms of environmental activism that are indicative of an emerging ecological consciousness.

The work of Powers is especially important in its expression of interconnected ecosystems, in which individual objects are members of greater, symbiotic systems. Sustainability here is not just a destination but a principle of life that needs a change of human perception and values. The novel is therefore in line with modern ecocritical arguments which consider the non-human agency and ecological interdependence.

4.4 Barbara Kingsolver: Climate Change, Scientific Consciousness, and Ecological Disruption in Flight Behavior

Flight Behavior by Barbara Kingsolver is a subtle discussion of climate change, in terms of ecological disturbance and human experience. The unusual movement of the monarch butterflies is a scientific phenomenon and the figurative symbol of the imbalance in the environment and thus the story is placed squarely in the Anthropocene narrative.

The ecocritical approach taken by Kingsolver is characterized by the involvement of scientific knowledge and its acceptance by society. The novel emphasizes the difference between empirical knowledge and general perception and how cultural beliefs, economic status, and political thought influence reaction to environmental crisis. Kingsolver uses the changing consciousness of the protagonist to follow a path of ignorance to environmental awareness.

In contrast to the wide ecological approach of Powers, Kingsolver uses a localized approach, showing how global climate change is reflected in concrete, practical situations. The novel brings out the urgency of sustainability and at the same time criticizes the inertia and denial within society. This way, it highlights how education, awareness and ethical responsibility can be used to deal with environmental issues.

4.5 Comparative Synthesis: Converging Ecocritical Visions

Although the authors express a unique ecological view, a comparative reading shows that there are major convergences. Amitav Ghosh and Arundhati Roy anticipate the socio-political aspects of environmental crisis, highlighting the issues of inequality, displacement, and environmental justice. Richard Powers and Barbara Kingsolver, on the other hand, are more directly involved with scientific and philosophical aspects of ecology, emphasising non-human agency and climate change.

Taken together, these readings transcend naive nature versus culture dichotomies, and offer a multi-layered, interdependent ecological and human system. They reveal that modern English literature serves as a critical place of negotiating environmental meaning, providing not just images of an

ecological crisis, but also systems of re-evaluating sustainability in ethical, political, and epistemological ways.

V. DISCUSSION

The ecocritical reviews of *The Hungry Tide*, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, *The Overstory*, and *Flight Behavior* demonstrate that the depiction of nature, environment, and sustainability in modern English literature has changed dramatically. Instead of being an inert backdrop, nature comes out in these texts as a force that is active, contentious, and constructive of meaning. This change is a pivotal turn in favour of eco-centric and relational conceptions of existence over anthropocentric literary traditions.

The main point of intersection between the chosen authors is that they have reconfigured the relationships between human beings and nature. In *The Overstory*, Richard Powers radically decentralizes the human subject by pre-empting the arboreal agency and long ecological temporality, which is consistent with deep ecological views that reverberate with interconnectedness and intrinsic value. Amitav Ghosh, in contrast, in his *The Hungry Tide*, provides a more fragile and earthlier paradigm of coexistence, in which human life is based on an ongoing bargaining process with an uncertain ecosystem. The discussion therefore reveals a continuum between philosophical ecocentrism and ecological realism lived in, showing plurality in the ecocritical discourse today.

The other important dimension that comes out of the analysis is the environmental crisis-socio-political structure integration. *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness* by Arundhati Roy predicts environmental degradation as unavoidable with state violence, marginalization, and displacement. In the same vein, Amitav Ghosh locates ecological vulnerability in the postcolonial framework, and highlights the uneven distribution of climate risk among geographies and communities. These stories bring ecocriticism beyond the previous emphasis on the representation of nature to environmental justice and environmental politics and thus reinforces the thesis that sustainability should be seen as an environmental and ethical issue.

Barbara Kingsolver, in *Flight Behavior*, and Richard Powers, in *The Overstory*, are more directly dealing with scientific and epistemological aspects of environmental crisis. The focus of climate science and the lack of awareness among people that Kingsolver focuses on reveals the cultural barriers that prevent ecological awareness, and the narrative presented by Powers reorients perception itself by asking the reader to enter non-human time and non-human intelligences. Collectively, the works emphasize the idea that the ecological crisis is not merely material but cognitive in nature and needs to be changed in terms of the human perception and relationship towards the natural world.

The discussion also reveals that the four authors, although in different contexts, are involved with the Anthropocene as an unifying paradigm albeit in different ways of expression. Barbara Kingsolver introduces it as the ecological disturbance that can be seen, Richard Powers as the ecological disruption that can be sensed in time and philosophy, Amitav Ghosh as the ecological vulnerability, and Arundhati Roy as the ecological involvement in power and inequality. This plurality implies that the Anthropocene, as it is presented in the literature is not a single story but a complex, stratified situation that is geographically, politically, and culturally determined.

Notably, the results of this paper support the thesis that sustainability in the modern literature is articulated as an ethical and transformative necessity, but not necessarily a technical fix. Richard Powers is a proponent of the change of consciousness to non-human agency; Barbara Kingsolver is a proponent of education and awareness; Amitav Ghosh is a proponent of ecological practices that are context-sensitive; and Arundhati Roy is a proponent of the necessity of justice and equity as the basis of sustainability. This convergence indicates that literature is very instrumental in the restructuring of environmental ethics through the linkage of affective, cultural, and intellectual realms.

In a larger context, the comparative analysis shows that, in a wider sense, the modern English literature is a critical location of ecological imagination, where the competing accounts of crisis, responsibility, and survival are bargained. The chosen readings, in addition to being a manifestation of environmental anxieties, are also actively involved in the creation of alternative patterns of perception of human and non-human coexistence. Combining scientific understanding, philosophical contemplation, and socio-political analysis, these works broaden the ecocritical scope and establish literature as an important tool to respond to the issues of the current ecological situation.

Overall, the discussion has highlighted that ecocritical approaches to the modern literature are interdisciplinary by nature, relying on environmental science, ethics, politics, and cultural studies. All the chosen novels prove that to respond to the environmental crisis, it is not only necessary to engage in material efforts but also to reconsider the values, stories, and ways of living.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has explored the nature, environment, and sustainability questions explored in the ecocritical perspective of contemporary English literature, four major novels, namely: *The Hungry Tide*, *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*, *The Overstory*, and *Flight Behavior*. The paper has managed to prove the critical role of literary narratives in the formation of ecological knowledge in the age of the Anthropocene by placing these texts in the context of the current theoretical frameworks.

The discussion shows that all these authors critique established anthropocentric paradigms by rethinking nature as an active, dynamic and agentic force. The articulation of non-human agency and ecological interconnectedness by Richard Powers, the involvement of Barbara Kingsolver in climate science and perceptual awareness, the focus on ecological precarity and local knowledge systems made by Amitav Ghosh, and the focus on environmental justice and political ecology made by Arundhati Roy contribute to the multidimensional and all-encompassing comprehension of the environmental discourse in literature. All these different viewpoints highlight the importance of the fact that ecological crisis cannot be perceived as a one-dimensional phenomenon but should be analyzed within the frameworks of interrelated scientific, cultural, ethical, and political contexts.

One of the key lessons of the research is that sustainability, as it is portrayed within these writings, does not only pertain to the technical solutions or policy frameworks, but a more profound moral change. The novels all support the restructuring of human values towards being responsible, interdependent, and just. They predict the necessity to acknowledge the intrinsic value of non-human

life and at the same time deal with inequalities that determine environmental vulnerability in various regions and communities.

Moreover, the research indicates the importance of literature as a location of ecological imagination. These works not just describe environmental crises but also challenge the assumptions upon which they are based, through narrative strategies that veer between the scientific realism and the philosophical reflection and socio-political critique. By so doing, they expose other possibilities of how to think about human-nature relations, disrupting the mainstream discourses of exploitation and domination.

On a broader level, this paper confirms that ecocritical inquiry is a truly interdisciplinary field relying on the knowledge of environmental science, ethics, cultural studies, and political theory. The chosen texts show that modern English literature is firmly rooted in the world ecological discussions and can play an important role in further debates on sustainability and environmental responsibility.

The study is however narrow in scope to a few texts and takes an interpretive approach. Future studies can develop this question by focusing on a broader set of literary genres, such as poetry, drama, and indigenous stories, or by incorporating more empirical research, such as reader-response research. Moreover, a more comprehensive discussion of regional literatures and multilingual situations may contribute to a more comprehensive view of global ecocritical discourse.

To sum up, the paper will suggest that English literature of the modern age is not only the mirror of the environmental crisis of the current age, but it is also actively engaged in the redefinition of the ethical and conceptual framework in the light of which the environmental crisis is perceived. Literary texts become potent sources of critical awareness and more responsible and sustainable forms of interaction with nature by pre-empting ecological interconnectedness, environmental justice, and sustainability.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Amitav Ghosh. (2004). *The Hungry Tide*. HarperCollins.
- [2]. Arundhati Roy. (2017). *The Ministry of Utmost Happiness*. Hamish Hamilton.
- [3]. Richard Powers. (2018). *The Overstory*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [4]. Barbara Kingsolver. (2012). *Flight Behavior*. Harper.
- [5]. Cheryll Glotfelty, C., & Fromm, H. (Eds.). (1996). *The ecocriticism reader: Landmarks in literary ecology*. University of Georgia Press.
- [6]. Lawrence Buell, L. (1995). *The environmental imagination: Thoreau, nature writing, and the formation of American culture*. Harvard University Press.

- [7]. Lawrence Buell, L. (2005). *The future of environmental criticism: Environmental crisis and literary imagination*. Blackwell.
- [8]. Greg Garrard, G. (2012). *Ecocriticism* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- [9]. Timothy Morton, T. (2010). *The ecological thought*. Harvard University Press.
- [10]. Timothy Morton, T. (2013). *Hyperobjects: Philosophy and ecology after the end of the world*. University of Minnesota Press.
- [11]. Dipesh Chakrabarty, D. (2009). The climate of history: Four theses. *Critical Inquiry*, 35(2), 197–222. <https://doi.org/10.1086/596640>
- [12]. Rob Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Harvard University Press.
- [13]. Ursula K. Heise, U. K. (2008). *Sense of place and sense of planet: The environmental imagination of the global*. Oxford University Press.
- [14]. Bruno Latour, B. (2017). *Facing Gaia: Eight lectures on the new climatic regime*. Polity Press.
- [15]. Val Plumwood, V. (2002). *Environmental culture: The ecological crisis of reason*. Routledge.