

**“Management of Supersession to Produce a Tectonic Shift in the Organizational Paradigm”**

---

**Vetha Jebakirubai Peter**

Research Scholar, Himalayan University

**Dr. Vinay Kant Saxena**Research Supervisor, Himalayan University

---

**Introduction**

Control systems seek to bring commonality of goals and process coordination within organizations. The essential for goal distribution and coordination of activities and functions has converted increasingly important among organizations in view of the increasing pressures on private companies to become more competitive and profitable to satisfy the requirements of their stakeholders. This incessant need for ever-improving bottom line presentation indicators has largely influenced the internationalization of business in terms of seeking new markets besides or new sources of inputs and in order to reply to the needs of customers. In parallel, government bodies then non-governmental organizations face new challenges from their own stakeholders, who demand more streamlined and efficient operations. A significant number of public services have now been privatized and subject to the same competitive pressures.

It provides the detail of control systems involving tools such as budgets, variance reports, standard operating procedures, or performance-based remuneration or more recent techniques such as the balanced scorecard which are specifically designed to ensure goal congruence. This chapter will also highlight, how control systems facilitate the monitoring or regulating of the behavior of directors, managers and other supervisory personnel in an organization. This section of the study will also give an explanation about contemporary management theories, which suggest, managers have been empowered in terms of having authority to take decisions whilst being accountable to the higher levels of management.

In such a context, public and private organizations alike have been reconsidering their internal processes. These processes are a key element to a better performance and/or efficiency, implying more congruence between the various sub-units and responsibility centers towards the organization's goals and objectives. From this perspective, one can argue that control systems imply some level of regulation on the employees/agents' behavior in an organization but also some level of empowerment to carry out their tasks.

## Management Control System (MCS)

There are assorted perspectives on the nature, reason and extent of MCS and the quantity of scholarly regions that exploration on this theme is obvious proof of such variety. Authoritative conduct, bookkeeping, the board, public area organization, and data frameworks are only a portion of these areas. In this manner, the two definitions may not be for the most part adequate ones, however they unquestionably add to the difficulties looked by the associations.

" MCS is a bunch of interrelated correspondence structures that works with the handling of data to help administrators in planning the parts and accomplishing the motivation behind an association on a nonstop premise" (Maciariello and Kirby, 1994, p. 1)

"The board control is the interaction by which administrators impact different individuals from the association to execute the association's techniques. The framework utilized by the board to control the exercises of an association is called its administration control framework." (Anthony and Govindarajan, 1998, p. 17).

### Objectives

The objectives of the MCS are as follows:

- (1) To model and empirically test explanations of dysfunctional behaviors(s) among middle-level, functional managers arising from specific sub-systems of Management Control System(MCS).
- (2) To extend the model and evidence to include the effects of two previously researched contextual variables, specifically task uncertainty and the superior's interactive vs diagnostic use of controls.
- (3) To identify and provide evidence on the effects of an institutional-theory led factor on the relationships between MCS and dysfunctional behavior.

In light of the various issues raised, the following points are of pertinent:

- (1) To what extent do particular types of Management Control Systems (MCS) generate or limit dysfunctional behavior, amongst subordinate managers in the application of those sub-systems?
- (2) To what extent is the relationship between MCS sub-systems and dysfunctional behavior influenced by contingency variables?

(3) Does Institutional Theory-Inspired concepts, as perceived by a sub-unit manager, moderate the relationship between MCS sub-systems and dysfunctional behavior?

The Management Control Mechanisms is a Budgetary Participation (BP) and dependence on bookkeeping execution measures (RAPM). Taking into account the monetary direction of these two control components, a non-monetary control sub-framework, in particular standard working techniques, will likewise be picked. Since between connections between these control sub-frameworks/systems, as directed by logical factors, is thought about independently.

BP and RAPM are the two sub-instruments that will be chosen for because there are as yet solid reactions as far as their absence of hypothetical and experimental cognizance inside the possibility worldview. The determination of relevant factors is considered after a broad survey of current hypothetical and observational contentions. Task vulnerability is likewise seen of impressive interest considering the help communicated in the writing. (Galbraith, 1973; Hartmann, 2000).

### **Limitations**

But certain limitations, especially with reference to:

- a) Due to financial as also time constraints the study will be restricted to our place ?, thus the conclusion arrived at the end will rely on short term experience.
- b) Being an opinion survey, the personal biases of the respondents may be vectored into their responses.
- c) Time constraints, source constraints will be some of the limitations.
- d) The sample may affect the results of the study, therefore, the findings and conclusions of the study will only be suggestive & not conclusive.
- e) The sampling error may appear due to the kind of sampling technique adopted.
- f) Indifference and lack of interest exhibited by a few respondents may lead to unauthentic responses.
- g) Time will prove to be a major constraint as far as collection and

### **MCS: Theoretical and Empirical Literature Review**

**This** evaluation of the theoretical and empirical literature on the eventuality paradigm in management control systems. The possibility of MCS is defined and categorization of the numerous MCS dimensions used in management accounting research. Subsequently, a analysis of the findings related

to theselected MCS sub-systems/mechanisms ishighlighted. Third part is consider theuse of reliant on variables in contingency theory-based studies and a discussion on theneed to focus on dysfunctional behavior.

### **Institutional Perspectives and Legitimacy**

This is think about the point of view of Institutional Theory. An overall audit of the perspectives and ramifications of the hypothesis is introduced. While the DiMaggio and Powell's (1983) perspective on institutionalism is broke down, this will likewise look at the more basic perspectives on Brunsson (1989) on institutional cycles inside the associations. A survey of flow institutional-based bookkeeping research is additionally introduced. These lead towards recommending the utilization of an institutional hypothesis based variable in the MCS space.

This will give a reflection on the primary discoveries and commitments to the surviving writing. The ramifications of these discoveries for the board control examination will be thought of, considering any constraints that might be of importance to future exploration. Considering these ramifications, explicit examination ideas and suggestions are planned.

### **Bibliography**

1. Abernethy, M.A. and Brownell, P., 1997, 'Management Control Systems in Research and Development Organizations: The Role of Accounting Behaviour and Personnel Controls', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 22, pp.233-248.
2. Abernethy, M.A. and Brownell, P. 1999, 'The Role of Budgets in Organizations Facing Strategic Change: An Exploratory Study', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 24, pp. 189-204.
3. Abernethy, M.A. and Bouwens, J., 2005, 'Determinants of accounting innovation implementation', *ABACUS*, Vol. 41, No. 3, pp. 217-240.
4. Berger, P.L. and Luckmann, T., 1967, *The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*, Doubleday, New York.
5. Berry, A.J., Capps, T., Cooper, D., Ferguson, P., Hopper, T., and Lowe, R.A., 1985, 'Management Control in an area of the NCB: Rationales of Accounting Practice in a Public Enterprise', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 10 No.1, pp. 3-28.
6. Bhimani, A., 1999, 'Mapping Methodological Frontiers in Cross-National Management Control Research', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol.24, pp. 413-440.
7. Chanchani, S. and MacGregor, A., 1999, 'A Synthesis of Cultural Studies in Accounting', *Journal of Accounting Literature*, Vol. 18, pp. 1-30.
8. Fisher, J., 1994, 'Technological Interdependence, Labour Production Functions and Control Systems', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 19, pp. 493-506.

9. Fisher, J., 1995, 'Contingency-Based Research on Management Control Systems: Categorization by Levels of Complexity', *Journal of Accounting Literature*, Vol. 14, pp. 24-53.
10. Fisher, C. 1996, 'The Impact of Perceived Environmental Uncertainty and Individual Differences on Management Information Requirements: A Research Note', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 361-369.
11. Fisher, J.G. 1998, 'Contingency Theory, Management Control Systems and Firm Outcomes: Past Results and Future Directions', *Behavioural Research in Accounting (Supplement)*, Vol. 10, pp. 47-64.
12. Ghauri, P., Gronhaug, K. and Kristianslund, I., 1995, *Research Methods in Business Studies: A Practical Guide*, Prentice Hall, United Kingdom.
13. Ghosh, D. and Lusch, R.F., 2000, 'Outcome Effect, Controllability and Performance Evaluation of Managers: Some Field Evidence from Multi-Outlet Businesses', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 25, pp. 411-425.
14. Govindarajan, V., 1984, 'Appropriateness of Accounting Data in Performance Evaluation: An Empirical Examination of Environmental Uncertainty as an Intervening Variable', *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 125-135.
15. Govindarajan, V., 1986, 'Impact of Participation in the Budgetary Process on Managerial Attitudes and Performance: Universalistic and Contingency Perspectives', *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 17, pp. 496-516.
16. Govindarajan, V., 1988, 'A Contingency Approach to Strategy Implementation at the Business-Unit Level: Integrating Administrative Mechanisms with Strategy', *Academic of Management Journal*, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 828-853.
17. Gujarati, D., 2003, *Basic Econometrics*, McGraw-Hill Higher Education.