



EXPLORING GENDER IDENTITY AND SOCIAL STRUCTURES IN THE WORKS OF JANE AUSTEN AND MANJU KAPUR

Mr. Bonam Anjanayellu

Research Scholar, Department of English
Sikkim Skill University
Enrollment No.: 229745011039

Dr. Riju Pawar

Professor, Department of English
Sikkim Skill University

ABSTRACT

Through a comparative analytical approach, this study will look at how gender identity has been represented and how social structures have been used in the works of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur. Set in different historical and cultural backgrounds, the novels written by Austen are indicative of the limitation of the nineteenth-century English society whereas those that are done by Kapur are reflective of the intricacy of the Indian socio-cultural realities in the present. The study examines the construction, negotiation and contestation of female identity in the context of patriarchal structures, with certain themes in it, including marriage, social stratification, and agency. It brings out the change in quietly and tactical resistance in the works of Austen to open and challenging resistance in the stories by Kapur. The analysis shows that both authors argue against the patriarchal norms, however, the approaches to the narrative vary greatly because of the development of feminist thought and the shift of societal attitudes. It is concluded that their works, on the whole, help to understand the dynamics of gender better, both continuity and change in the literary representation of roles and identity of women.

Keywords: *Gender Identity, Social Structures, Patriarchy, Feminist Literary Criticism, Female Agency, Marriage, Comparative Literature*

1. INTRODUCTION

The study and critique of the building of gender identity in socially organized realms has long been a potent tool of literature. Throughout history and in the context of various cultures, literatures have mirrored and redefined current ideas about femininity, masculinity and social identities. The novels of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur are also important examples of this tradition, in which the lives of women are described on the basis of the patriarchal system in a more detailed way. Both writers in their narratives approach the

nature of gender identity as not just an innate process but a socially constructed and culturally controlled process, produced by norms, expectations and institutional frameworks.

Gender identity, being the issue in Austen fiction, is closely related to the problems of classes, decency, and wedlock, which is set in the context of the early XIX century England. In her stories we can see a society, which is dominated by strict social norms in which the role of a woman is mostly restricted to a home and her social movement is much dependent on good marriages. However, it is within these limitations that Austen develops female characters who are intelligent, witty and morally discerning, alluding to the limitations surrounding the woman. She criticizes patriarchal norms, however, in many ways, it is veiled by irony, storytelling, and she is able to challenge the norms and still stay within the acceptable level of her society.

On the contrary, the works of Manju Kapur, set in the socio-cultural context of contemporary India, provide a more straightforward and open discussion of the problem of gender inequality and identity construction. Her stories are a precursor to the conflict between tradition and modernity and the way women had to go through the demands of their families, social forces and their own desires. In contrast to the reserved style of Austen, Kapur is not afraid of direct confrontations in her writing, as often her female heroes are in active opposition and challenge patriarchy. Kapur constructs gender identity as a complex and dynamic construct, which is influenced by both internal and external restrictions through themes of marital dissatisfaction, sexual autonomy and identity crises.

The study uses the comparative analytical approach based on the feminist literary criticism to analyze the way in which both authors portray gender identity, social restrictions, and agency of women. The current study aims to address the similarities as well as differences in the way these two authors dwell on the experiences of women through time and culture by putting them in a dialogue. Such comparison not only throws light on the changing nature of gender discourses, but also highlights the role of patriarchal frameworks in defining the identities of women. In the end, the analysis will show how literature is a critical space of questioning, negotiating and reimagining gender roles in the society.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Alam Chowdhury et al. (2024) discussed the portrayal of gender roles in *Pride and Prejudice* and how Jane Austen had implicitly criticized the patriarchal norms in the text using both characterization and narrative irony. The study has underscored how female characters in the works of Austen were able to negotiate the

societal expectations in a strategic way as opposed to confronting them blatantly. It also claimed that marriage as it was depicted by Austen was not only a romantic ideal, but a social institution, which was molded by power relations, thus revealing the restrictions that were imposed on women in a patriarchal society.

Lints (2024) examined how femininity is constructed and how women and their actions are policed in the works of Austen, especially social hierarchy and gender expectations. The study has shown how femininity was controlled by the rules of society and the deviation of these rules was discussed. It also emphasized the fact that the stories written by Austen were an expression of the conflict between personal personhood and societal norms, thus bringing out the limitations of women being independent of men in the nineteenth century England.

Arya (2024) examined the plight of women in the novels of Manju Kapur, especially in *A Married Woman* and *Home* and how culture and reality defined the lives of women. The study has stressed the fact that Kapur played women as those who were torn between the old ways and the new desires. It also implied that her female characters tended to have internal struggle as they struggled to establish their identities in oppressive socio-cultural structures.

Chauhan (2020) explored the gender identity construction in the novels of Kapur and emphasized the way her characters were forced to address the questions of independence, self and social pressure. The study highlighted that the narratives of Kapur depicted gender identity as a dynamic and changing one, which is influenced by individual preferences and external restrictions. It found that the works of Kapur provided a more immediate and critical encounter with patriarchy, in which the opposition of women is explicit and transformative, as opposed to being negotiated.

3. GENDER IDENTITY IN AUSTEN AND KAPUR

As it is portrayed in literature, gender identity is ingrained in socio-cultural and historical contexts, where writers create their literature. It is not a personal quality but a socially constructed phenomenon that is influenced by the norms, expectations, and institutional structures. The literature by Jane Austen and Manju Kapur offers a lot of information on how gender identity is constructed, bargained and challenged in patriarchal cultures. Whereas Austen gives a reserved and subtle picture based on the norms of the nineteenth century England, Kapur provides a more direct and violent picture that is a reflection of the

realities in India today. Comparative analysis of their works shows the continuity and change of the representation of identity of women in time and culture.

3.1 Gender Identity in Jane Austen

The construction of gender identity in the works of Austen is created in the context of social etiquette, ethics, and class awareness. Modesty, obedience, grace and refinement are virtues that women are supposed to possess, as these are the qualities that will make them socially accepted and have a chance of being married. These views are supported by rules and the family system, restricting the autonomy of women and their ability to express themselves. The stories of Austen depict the interrelations between gender identity and social performance in which women have to adhere to the expected role to be considered respectable.

Yet, these norms are rather limiting and Austen manages to undermine them through her characterization. Elements like Elizabeth Bennet in *Pride and Prejudice* are intelligent, witty and very individualistic. A subtle declaration of personal agency can be seen in how Elizabeth did not succumb to the demands of society, especially in relation to the issue of marriage. Likewise, other female characters show the level of awareness and bargaining of their roles, which means that gender identity in the works of Austen is not absolutely determined but can be interpreted and opposed.

The conflict that exists in the description by Austen in the end resembles the conflict between the societal demands and personal wishes. She does not break out against patriarchal conventions, but rather has her characters negotiate, sometimes tactfully, through the use of intellect, moral judgment and social awareness. Such a nuanced form of resistance brings out the restrictions of her historical background but at the same time implies that there might be some form of autonomy within these restrictions.

3.2 Gender Identity in Manju Kapur

Manju Kapur on the other hand introduces gender identity as a fluid and highly controversial territory, a subject of friction between the forces of tradition, modernity and self-aspiration. Her works portray women who are strongly influenced by social demands placed on them by family set up, marriage and cultural demands but they also question and challenge these demands. In contrast to the rather gentle style of Austen, the stories of Kapur preempt the problems and contradictions that lie in the formation of female identity.

Feminine protagonists in novels *A Married Woman* and *Difficult Daughters* undergo identity as a process that is characterized by emotional instability, self-discovery and resistance. Marital dissatisfaction, societal

condemnation, and stifling of personal desires are among the problems these characters have to face. Their travels represent a quest to gain independence and self-identity, which tends to result in rebellious behavior with regards to accepted standards.

The identity fragmentation and reconstruction, especially in connection with sexuality, individuality, and self-expression are another important theme of Kapur narratives. This discussion of alternative identities and unconventional choices underscores the changing aspects of gender roles in modern times. By her radical and realistic depiction, Kapur introduces gender identity as a shiftable, changing construct that is influenced by inside experiences and outside forces.

4. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND PATRIARCHY

Social structures have a critical impact on the development of individual identity and behaviour, specifically the gender roles and expectations. These structures in literary works tend to mirror larger social structures of power, hierarchy and resource access. The novels of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur are the critical observations of the functioning of patriarchal structures in various cultural and historical backgrounds. Whereas Austen demonstrates a well-organized but implicitly repressive social system of nineteenth-century England, Kapur depicts a more straightforward and multifaceted image of the patriarchal subjugation in the modern Indian society. A comparison of their writings shows how social institutions, especially family, class, and marriage, serve as means of perpetuating and subverting gender inequality.

4.1 Social Structures in Jane Austen's Works

The novels written by Austen portray a very stratified society with strict class divisions, economic factors, and a socially approved marriage partnership. There is a lack of social mobility and people are supposed to follow the set standards of behavior and decency. In this context, patriarchy is implicit but strong, as it organizes relationships and defines the role of women. Women are mostly marginalised in terms of economic autonomy and are supposed to find their social and economic security in a marriage. This character in figure 1 demonstrates the stratification of social relations, as well as gendered relations, which the society of the Regency age had to face, and which were reflected in the works of Jane Austen.



Figure 1: Representation of Social Hierarchy and Gender Roles in Regency-Era English Society

Such reliance on marriage underscores the lack of agency that women had in the world of Austen. As an example, in the *Pride and Prejudice*, the focus on the beneficial marriages highlights the fact that the economic need influences the decisions of women but not their own wish. These are further reinforced by the family expectations and pressures of the society and conformity is a precondition to becoming a socially accepted individual.

Nevertheless, the description done by Austen is not completely unconditional. With irony and subtle characterization, she reveals the shortcomings and contradictions of these social constructs. Although her characters do not very frequently rebel openly, they seem to find their way through these limitations with strategic acumen and intelligence. This veiled criticism shows how confining patriarchal structures are, as well as how there is the potential of negotiating space in it.

4.2 Social Structures in Manju Kapur's Works

Manju Kapur, in her turn, provides a more explicit and critical view of social structures, emphasizing the dominance of patriarchy in the modern Indian society. Her works represent a type of social order where family demands, customs, and social standards are all the governing rules of the life of women. Compared to the subtle functioning of the patriarchy in novels by Austen, Kapur prefigures the effect of the system and demonstrates the ways in which it influences the identity of women and their decisions/possibilities.

The characters of women in the stories by Kapur are usually limited to the roles of the dutiful daughters, good wives, and self-sacrificing mothers. The failure to act according to these roles often results in social marginalization, emotional and even family and community alienation. In such novels as *A Married Woman*

and Difficult Daughters, female characters face strict norms, which deny them their independence and their personality.

Meanwhile, the works created by Kapur also indicate the intricacies of the changing society, in which the traditional values are mixed with the new ideas of independence and self-realization. This two-sidedness establishes a tension in the space of both compliance and opposition to the norms of the society by women. By means of her realistic and, in many cases, brash depiction, Kapur does not just criticize the patriarchal system, but also brings out the dynamic nature of gender relations in contemporary India, by accentuating the fight against equality and self-identification.

5. MARRIAGE, FEMALE AGENCY, AND RESISTANCE

The central social institution, marriage, is decisive in creating the identities, the choices and the positions of women in society. Marriage in the novels of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur is not only a personal or romantic affair but a social construct that is controlled and enforces the patriarchal order. Simultaneously, it is an important location, in which female agency is negotiated and enacted. The marriage and resistance in their works can be regarded as the interaction of women response to a systemic limitation to the women expectations system.

The theme of marriage in Austen novels is that marriage is a necessary institution which provides women with social legitimacy and economic security. In the strict stratification of the nineteenth century English society, stability and respectability among women relied more on beneficial marital unions. Austen in *Pride and Prejudice* introduces a variety of models of marriage that oscillate between practical unions to emotionally-suited ones. As characters, like Charlotte Lucas, embrace the marriage as a necessity in life, Elizabeth Bennet claims her privilege to be married because of mutual respect and compatibility. This contrast shows how Austen criticizes the effects of marriage imposed on women in society.

Nevertheless, the female agency in the works of Austen is confined by the social norms. Women seldom act outwardly, but they have an agency of negotiation, moral judgment, intellectual discernment that is subtle. The opposition is integrated in the daily choices, rejection of unsuitable offers or insistence on personal tastes, and is not open conflict with patriarchal rule. Such a representation by Austen therefore represents a kind of limited agency where women act within the confines of acceptable behavior and slowly increase their area of agency.

On the contrary, Manju Kapur provides more critical and complex portrayal of marriage, where marriage can be described as a place of conflict, constraint, and identity crisis. Her writings preempt the shortcomings of the marriage as an institution that tends to subdue the personality of the woman and reaffirm patriarchal control. Marriage in both *A Married Woman* and *Difficult Daughters* has been widely linked to emotional dissatisfaction, dissatisfaction, and self destruction. The female characters are shown as inability to combine personal needs and social norms, and it results in self-dilemmas and conflicts with others.

The presentation of the female agency by Kapur is much more open and aggressive. Her characters do not conform to the usual rules such as the characters in Austen, they question the right of imposed roles and demand other ways of identification and satisfaction. Their opposition can be in the form of emotional insurrection, denying the traditional relationships or seeking independence outside the home. This alteration of the nuanced negotiation to defiance is a manifestation of the shifting socio-cultural circumstances and the impact of the modern feminist ideas.

Comparative analysis of these two authors shows that a big change took place in the way marriage was portrayed and the female agency. Although Austen introduces marriage as an institution with a certain structure that can be negotiated but with few possibilities of resistance, Kapur reveals the restrictive aspects of it and highlights the necessity to resist it and redefine it. The movement of accommodations within patriarchy to the critical interrogation and challenge of its attitudes is also emphasized by this evolution which is much broader in terms of societal attitudes towards gender roles.

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Comparative analysis of works by Jane Austen and Manju Kapur shows that there is a huge difference and there are also some important similarities in how the two writers address the issue of gender identity, social structure, and female agency. Although both authors are critical of the practice of patriarchy, their work is influenced by different historical, cultural and ideological contexts. The limitation of the Austen writing can be traced back to the nineteenth century English society, where the resistance is indirect and is frequently represented by means of social conventions. Conversely, the stories of Kapur arise out of a contemporary Indian setting where there has been a growing awareness of gender inequality leading to more open and confrontational depictions of the plight of women.

In order to have a deeper insight and grasp these differences, a tabular comparison of some essential features in the works of both writers is presented in a tabular form as in table 1 below:

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Gender Identity and Social Structures in the Works of Jane Austen and Manju Kapur

Aspect	Jane Austen	Manju Kapur
Context	19th-century England	Modern Indian society
Gender Identity	Subtle, socially conditioned	Explicit, conflict-driven
Resistance	Indirect and strategic	Direct and assertive
Marriage	Social necessity	Often restrictive
Agency	Limited but present	Strong and evolving

As can be shown in the table, the lens by which Austen depicts the gender identity is mostly influenced by social conditioning and conformity to traditional norms, whereas in Kapur the identity is a dynamic and even disputed area. In the same vein, the character of resistance also varies greatly: in both Austen and Kapur, the characters are able to use indirect and strategic modes of negotiation and direct and aggressive opposition to patriarchal authority, respectively. Such distinctions reveal the shift in literary portrayals of the roles of women and those changes are also indicative of the more general socio-cultural shifts.

Moreover, the difference in the portrayal of marriage and agency also highlights the changing discussion on gender. Marriage as an institution in the works of Austen is a means of providing little opportunity to have independence, whereas marriage in the stories of Kapur frequently proves to be a location of conflict and repression. In line with this, female agency is not only freed, but also strengthened in the works of Kapur as compared to its restraint, but still present, in the writings of Austen. This comparative structure does not only highlight the development of feminist ideas but also shows how literature remains a critical domain to question and rebrand gender roles in various societies.

7. DISCUSSION

The comparative analysis showed that both Jane Austen and Manju Kapur critically explored the nature of patriarchal structures, but did so in different ways because of socio-historical situations. The limitation of the English society in the nineteenth century was in the works of Austen where opposition was manifested in an indirect way using irony, characterization and subtle story techniques. Her heroes challenged the position of the society without confronting it directly. On the contrary, the writings of Kapur were much

more direct and critical and touched specifically gender inequality, marital conflicts as well as identity controversy. This juxtaposition shows the impact of a cultural and ideological context on the literary expression.

Moreover, the fact that the restrained negotiation in the works of Austen is replaced with the open opposition in the stories of Kapur points to the fact that the society in general has changed its attitude towards the gender role. The characters of Austen had agency in their strategic decisions and moral judgment within the acceptable standards and the characters of Kapur took their positions on the societal norms and tried to be independent of their roles. This shift is indicative of the development of feminist ideas, and presents the role of literature as a means to reflect social realities, as well as challenge and remake those realities.

8. CONCLUSION

Jane Austen and Manju Kapur works shed much light on the complicated nature of gender identity and social systems in various historical and cultural backgrounds. Although Austen introduces a subtle and indirect form of patriarchy critique that is conditioned by the limitations of the living conditions in the nineteenth-century English society, Kapur introduces a more direct and revolutionary approach that can be interpreted by modern issues of gender inequality and personal freedom. These differences notwithstanding, both authors focus on the importance of female agency as the core and the need to negotiate or resist patriarchal norms. Together, their works help to shape the ongoing discussion of gender equality, showing both stability and development in the portrayal of the roles and identities of women, as well as their desires, and confirming that literature will always remain a place where one can critically reflect on the world and effect social change.

REFERENCES

1. Alam Chowdhury, M. S., & Sofi, N. U. D. (2024). *Challenging Patriarchy: The Quest for Equality in Jane Austen's Pride and Prejudice*. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, 44(3).
2. Arya, S. (2024). *CULTURAL IDENTITY AND SOCIAL REALITIES: THE STRUGGLES OF WOMEN IN MANJU KAPUR'S 'A MARRIED WOMAN' AND 'HOME'*. *SHODHKOSH: JOURNAL OF VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS* Ученые: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, 5(4), 1402-1407.

3. Chauhan, R. (2020). *The Construction of Gender Identity in the Novels of Manju Kapur. In Linguistic Foundations of Identity* (pp. 202-213). Routledge.
4. Jare, M. (2018). *Study of the female protagonists in the select novels of Jai Nimbkar, Anita Desai, Shashi Deshpande and Manju Kapur* (Doctoral dissertation, Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth).
5. Karthigaiselvi, G. (2020). *Women Emancipation in the Select works of Manju Kapur's Novels*. *Ilkogretim Online*, 19(2), 1479-1484.
6. Krishna, S. (2017). *Existence and Lesbianism in Manju Kapur's Married Woman*. *The Creative Launcher*, 2(5), 244-249.
7. Lints, E. (2024). *Negotiating femininity: expressions of gender and the policing of female hierarchies in Jane Austen's Pride and prejudice* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).
8. Naqashbandi, B. (2023). *Gender equality in selected novels of Jane Austen: coping with anxiety to redefine home and gender roles* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nottingham).
9. Niño González, J. (2023). *Beyond the boundaries of social chains: Gender roles and social classes' representation in Jane Austen's Pride and Prejudice*.
10. Simionato, D. M. (2020). *Coming of age in the nineteenth century: an analysis of female space in Mansfield Park and Pride and Prejudice, By Jane Austen; Jane Eyre, by Charlotte Brontë; and North and South, by Elizabeth Gaskell*.
11. Singh, R. (2022). *Marriage in Indian English Literature: A Comprehensive Exploration Through the Works of Shobha De and Manju Kapur*. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH* ISSN: 2277-3630 Impact factor: 8.036, 11(09), 406-413.
12. Sonthalia, S. (2021). *The New Emergent Women in Manju Kapur's Difficult Daughters and Anita Rau Badami's The Hero's Walk*. *Strength for Today and Bright Hope for Tomorrow* Volume 21: 9 September 2021 ISSN 1930-2940, 65.
13. Sweetline, S., & Senthamarai, T. (2021). *Identity perspectives in the select novels of Manju Kapur-a thematic study*. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering and Technology (IJEET)*, 12(6), 231-241.
14. Usmani, S., & Kaul, S. (2024). *Feminism and Literature: Reclaiming the Canon-Enduring Relevance of Jane Austen's Works*. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, 44(3).
15. Zagade, M. S. H. (2015). *Women on Margins: Quest for Identity in the Novel 'Difficult Daughters' By Manju Kapur*. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies*, 1(8), 1-8.